**World Journal of Virology Manuscript NO: 65550:**
**Point-by-point response to reviewers (in red)**

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY

Reviewer #1:
Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)
Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)
Conclusion: Minor revision
Specific Comments to Authors: This study provides current and important information for understanding the content. In addition, it is interesting and necessary in view of the scarcity of evaluations on the subject in the current scenario. The article has a satisfactory level of depth and clarity. Moreover, the article is well written. The conclusion should be rewritten with a greater focus on the study's findings and their repercussions.

I would like to thank the reviewer for their positive comments. I have rewritten and expanded the conclusion with a greater focus on the study's findings and their repercussions as suggested.

Reviewer #2:
Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Accept (General priority)
Specific Comments to Authors: There are few articles in the literature on the subject. I think this well-compiled article will contribute to the literature.

I would like to thank the reviewer for their positive comments.

Reviewer #3:
Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)
Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)
Conclusion: Accept (General priority)
Specific Comments to Authors: The author presented a very comprehensive review on SARS-CoV-2 infection in patients with lymphoid malignancies. The manuscript is very fluid and up-to-date, with the association of COVID and lymphoma subtype and treatments. There are a few typos on the manuscript and lack of pontuation, but the overall quality of the manuscript is good.

I would like to thank the reviewer for their positive comments. I have carefully reviewed and re-edited the manuscript to correct typos and to add in punctuation. In addition, I have re-written some sentences to improve their fluency.

4 LANGUAGE QUALITY

Please resolve all language issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report. Please be sure to have a native-English speaker edit the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability, so that the manuscript's language will meet our direct publishing needs.

I have resolved all language issues as described in my response to the reviewers. I am a native English speaker so have not sought any further editing in this regard.

5 EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

I have addressed the questions raised by the reviewers as above.

I have cited 3 references where I am an author. Given that I have cited 83 references in total this falls well below the self-referencing rate of 10%.

I have provided a powerpoint version of the original figure. This figure is original and has not been published elsewhere.

I have updated the reference list to include PMID and DOI numbers and have listed all authors of the references. In addition, I have checked these using the auto-analyzer and they are all correct aside from reference 2. However this publication is a reasonable alternative to the one that I had originally cited so I have left it in the reference list.

In addition, I had some difficulty in opening the pdf of the conflict-of-interest disclosure form that I downloaded from the journal's website. As I have no conflicts of interest to disclose I have edited the copyright license agreement and used this as a template instead. If this is not sufficient, I would be grateful if the editorial team would email me a Microsoft Word version of this document.