

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Methodology

**Manuscript NO:** 65702

**Title:** History, anatomy and surgery for hernias involving the groin: comprehensive recognition of anatomy, classic considerations for herniorrhaphy, and current controversies in hernioplasty

**Reviewer's code:** 02662830

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** United States

**Author's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-03-13

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-03-17 12:41

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-03-17 12:44

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Consider adding CT images of the described hernias and related complications.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Methodology

**Manuscript NO:** 65702

**Title:** History, anatomy and surgery for hernias involving the groin: comprehensive recognition of anatomy, classic considerations for herniorrhaphy, and current controversies in hernioplasty

**Reviewer's code:** 05466253

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Thailand

**Author's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-03-13

**Reviewer chosen by:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-03-17 04:35

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-03-17 18:05

**Review time:** 13 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

**statements**Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ☐ ] Yes [ ☒ ] No**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This is a well structured review article. The authors thoroughly reviewed the history, anatomy and surgery for groin hernia. The figures clearly demonstrated the anatomy of the abdominal wall and anatomy of groin. There is a comment on this manuscript. Abstract section: The authors should correct the aim in the abstract section. It was written as "To present our data here along with a discussion of therapeutic strategies for fatal arterial hemorrhage after PD." which seem not to be related to this review.