

Response to reviewer's comments:

#Reviewer 1

(1) We congratulate the authors for this retrospective study. The number of patients appear less, but comparing the complexity of disease and procedure, the numbers are considerable. The results are very helpful in providing directions for long term care in these patients. In this study, authors have found that the sequential therapy of trans-papillary ENPD combined with ERPD for pancreatic pseudocyst is safe and effective. Authors did mention that they did not use lumen opposing stents, and we agree that these stents and technique are expensive and require advanced endoscopic skills, but lot of recent studies recommend the use of lumen opposing stents along with EUS guided drainage. Comparison of the two groups in this study with a third group with lumen opposing stents will be a study for future. Manuscript needs minor English language editing; I did notice minor grammatical errors and spelling errors in the manuscript.

Response: Thank you for your comments and affirmation of our research. We have re-edited and polished the expression of this manuscript by the designated English editing company-MedE, and revised the grammatical errors and spelling errors in the manuscript.