

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 66547

Title: Small Bowel Perforation from a Migrated Biliary Stent: A Case Report and Review

of Literature

Reviewer's code: 02844442 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MSc

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-03-30 17:28

Reviewer performed review: 2021-03-30 17:36

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations to the authors. Excellent report. Congratulations on the literature review



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 66547

Title: Small Bowel Perforation from a Migrated Biliary Stent: A Case Report and Review

of Literature

Reviewer's code: 05226098 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-01 09:32

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-01 10:20

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Gastrointestinal perforation due to migration after the biliary plastic stent placement has already been reported and is not a new finding. You need to investigate the period from stenting to perforation in detail.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 66547

Title: Small Bowel Perforation from a Migrated Biliary Stent: A Case Report and Review

of Literature

Reviewer's code: 05106874 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Attending Doctor, Deputy Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-02 13:19

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-02 14:24

[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Stent migration is not uncommon in clinical exercises. However, very few of them caused very serious complications, like perforation, including small bowl, large bowl and duodenum. For treatmen, surgery is the priority in most of the hospials. Furthurmore, there are several types of plastic stents can be used in benign or malignant bile stricture. It will be better If the authors could describe which type of these stents tend to migrate.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 66547

Title: Small Bowel Perforation from a Migrated Biliary Stent: A Case Report and Review

of Literature

Reviewer's code: 03727205 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACS, MBBS, MCh, MS

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-01 07:47

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-04 17:00

Review time: 3 Days and 9 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I thank the Editor for giving me the opportunity to review this manuscript. The article describes a case of small bowel perforation due to migrated biliary stent in a patient with multiple co-morbidities. I am attaching my comments below. 1) Instead of writing year, it will be better to mention the duration. For example 2019 and 2020 may range from 1 day to 729 days. So, please mention duration in months or years. 2. Clostridium Difficile- Clostridium difficile. Please correct 3. The cause of biliary obstruction remains obscure 4. What was the duration between first and second ERCP? 5. Patient suffered with active sepsis in the background of AIDS, Cirrhosis, prior laparotomy and ileorectal anastomosis. Why were vitals and lab parameters in the normal limit? 6. Could endoscopic removal of migrated stents be tried in this patient? Especially after total colectomy and ileo-rectal anastomosis, access to ileum becomes relatively easier. This could also have saved patient from laparotomy and risky procedure considering hostile abdomen due to prior surgeries. 7. Introduction and first two paragraphs of discussion are repetitive. 8. The stent could have caused perforation in this patient could kinked and adhered small bowel. Reason for stent impaction and bowel perforation should have been elaborated in the discussion section. 9. Language editing is required to improve the quality of manuscript.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 66547

Title: Small Bowel Perforation from a Migrated Biliary Stent: A Case Report and Review

of Literature

Reviewer's code: 05260701 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Attending Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Belgium

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-01 17:37

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-09 15:18

Review time: 7 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com **https:**//www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Editor, Thank you for giving me the opportunity to review the manuscript entitled "Small Bowel Perforation from a Migrated Biliary Stent: A Case Report and Review of Literature" for your prestigious journal. In this study the authors present the case of a 54-year old patient presenting a small bowel perforation after distal migration of a plastic biliary stent. Moreover, the authors conducted a literature search and present similarities and differences among their case and those existing in the literature. This is an interesting clinical case, but the following issued should be taken into consideration. 1. The aim of presenting this case report should be clearly stated in the introduction and the abstract. What should the reader expect from reading through? 2. Case presentation: please be more concise and less descriptive when presenting the patient's history. 3. Did the authors consider to perform an endoscopic stent retrieval using enteroscopy followed by endoscopic management of the perforation? If no, why? Any particular explication or risk factor that they took into account to make their decision? 4. Define the origin of the benign biliary stricture as well as the type of stent used. 5. Discussion. Please extend your discussion to include all available modalities to treat stent-induced perforation. Endoscopic management (through-the-scope clip or over-the-scope clip) has been shown to be effective as shown in a recently published case series. Consider including it in your references. (Lateral duodenal wall perforation due to plastic biliary stent migration: a case series of endoscopic closure. PMID: 32355873 PMCID: PMC7165006 DOI: 10.1055/a-1123-7782). 6. Discussion. When should the surgical approach be preferred as the initial modality pf treatment? 7. Discussion. What about stent recall policy? Was there one in the hospital where the stent was placed? Was the patient called back to remove/replace the stent? If not, emphasize on the importance of recall registry as highlighted in the ESGE ERCP Quality Indicators paper. 8. Table. Please



avoid all these abbreviations in Table's first line. 9. Consider adding a sentence presenting the key words that were used for the literature search.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 66547

Title: Small Bowel Perforation from a Migrated Biliary Stent: A Case Report and Review

of Literature

Reviewer's code: 05226098 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Director

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-30 11:22

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-30 11:41

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [Y] No



Unfortunately, intestinal perforation due to movement after biliary plastic stent placement has already been reported and is not a new finding.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 66547

Title: Small Bowel Perforation from a Migrated Biliary Stent: A Case Report and Review

of Literature

Reviewer's code: 03727205 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACS, MBBS, MCh, MS

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-30 08:18

Reviewer performed review: 2021-07-31 19:05

Review time: 1 Day and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [Y] No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com **https:**//www.wjgnet.com

The authors have incorporated the suggested changes. The timeline of events have been clarified. Minor typographic errors have been highlighted in the attached file. Patient had cirrhosis and portal vein thrombosis. The ERCP and surgery is difficult in these circumstances. Was there evidence of portal biliopathy in this patient? What was the cause of biliary stricture in this patient? What was the finding on side viewing endoscopy? What precautions were taken to avoid hemorrhage during ERCP? What were the changes in bowel, liver and spleen at the time of surgical exploration? Many aspects of the case have been left open-ended. History of past illness need to be more detailed.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy

Manuscript NO: 66547

Title: Small Bowel Perforation from a Migrated Biliary Stent: A Case Report and Review

of Literature

Reviewer's code: 05260701 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Attending Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Belgium

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-03-30

Reviewer chosen by: Ya-Juan Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-07-30 20:04

Reviewer performed review: 2021-08-02 21:31

Review time: 3 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [Y] No



The manuscript can now be accepted.