

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 66858

Title: Bartonellosis in Transplant Recipients: A Retrospective Single Center Experience

Reviewer's code: 05045708

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-07

Reviewer chosen by: Lian-Sheng Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-08 07:49

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-09 08:05

Review time: 1 Day

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Nice design study, congrats, just only I advice you to cite about Case 2 and Case3
pathologic granuloma of caseification status in the text Best

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 66858

Title: Bartonellosis in Transplant Recipients: A Retrospective Single Center Experience

Reviewer's code: 05121314

Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: FEBS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-08 04:09

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-11 11:47

Review time: 3 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors report their single centre experience on the diagnosis and management of bartonellosis, a rare infectious disease in immunocompromised transplanted patients. I recommend some revisions: 1) Please summarise the findings in a table, as for the readers it would be easier to focus on the message 2)What could be the proposed algorithm in the suspect of bartonellosis? Also, would the authors suggest a particular tailored approach afterwards either in immunosuppression tailoring or in the screening?

3) Some sentences are not clear, for example please check in the discussion: "In our series, the diagnosis of bartonellosis proved difficult". (Did the author mean proved TO BE difficult?)

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 66858

Title: Bartonellosis in Transplant Recipients: A Retrospective Single Center Experience

Reviewer's code: 02445821

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BSc, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-07

Reviewer chosen by: Lian-Sheng Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-04-12 14:07

Reviewer performed review: 2021-04-15 20:04

Review time: 3 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper describes a retrospective study of *Bartonella henselae* cases in transplant patients who received their care at the author's institution between 2011 and 2018. Three cases were selected and described in detail regarding their medical history, diagnosis, treatment and follow up. The work is interesting and the paper is well written, despite several mistyping errors. The major problem with this paper consists in the lack of originality. There are several previous similar reports of *B. henselae* in solid organ transplant patients with similar results as indicated on the paper's reference list. I could not see any novelty on the findings. There is no new hypothesis, or issues to be solved in the future, or any impact even in clinical practice. However, as it is a poorly diagnosed disease, and uncommon, it may be of interest to increase the description of cases, so I recommend the publication after minor review.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Transplantation

Manuscript NO: 66858

Title: Bartonellosis in Transplant Recipients: A Retrospective Single Center Experience

Reviewer's code: 05121314

Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: FEBS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-04-07

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-05-18 08:03

Reviewer performed review: 2021-05-18 17:09

Review time: 9 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for revising the manuscript