

## Answers to reviewer 1

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: 1. The title and abstract should be added in the main text. 2. Conclusions are not adequate, and the authors should provide more information about the future perspectives of climate and cardiovascular diseases.

We appreciate the reviewer's kind comments.

1. The title and abstract should be added in the main text.

The paper has been revised following the editorial rules of WJC, and title and abstract now appear in the main text

2. authors should provide more information about the future perspectives of climate and cardiovascular diseases.

We agree that a more detailed statement is needed. The conclusions section has been revised according to your valuable comment.

Best regards

The authors