

Reviewer #1

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (High priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: i have no comments except some language editing, please review the paper.

We are very grateful to the reviewer for his/her attention and helpful comments to our review, which helped to improve our work considerably.

As suggested by reviewer, we sent the paper for revision by a language editing company.

Reviewer #2

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: The author did an extensive review on the factors predicting FMR improvement after CRT in HF patients. The author included most of the studies in literature and divided them based on the type of factors. The manuscript is well written but few issues need to be addressed - elaborate more on why profibrotic biomarkers are associated with improvement in MR - Add HR and p value in the table - the paper is missing the limitation section

We are very grateful to the reviewer for his/her attention and helpful comments to our review, which helped to improve our work considerably.

As suggested by reviewer, we included in the manuscript a sentence which explains why Gal-3 is implicated in LV reverse remodeling and lack of MR improvement .

We also added HR and p value in the table. Finally we included a limitation section.