

Reviewer #1:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade B (Very good)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (General priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** The paper is very well written, explained and organized. It presents an original study, and a very interesting topic with important results which makes it likely to be cited. Grammar, spelling, and punctuation errors need to be corrected. Thank you for your work!

**Author response:**

1) Dear colleague, many thanks for your kind and supportive feedback. To confirm, the co-authors and I have revised the manuscript and corrected minor spelling and punctuation errors. All of the authors are native English speakers born in the UK and therefore have not used an English language editing service.

**Company editor-in-chief:**

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Orthopedics, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors.

**Author response:**

1) Dear Editor-in-Chief. Thank you for your feedback. On behalf of my co-authors, I hope that the revised manuscript meets your high level for publication.

**1) Science editor:**

1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a retrospective study of the anthropometric method for estimating component sizes in total hip arthroplasty. The topic is within the scope of the WJO. (1) Classification: Grade B; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The paper is very well written, explained and organized. It presents an original study, and a very interesting topic with important results which makes it likely to be cited. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; (3) Format: There are 2 tables and 2 figures; (4) References: A total of 20 references are cited, including 2 references published in the last 3 years; (5) Self-cited references: There is no self-cited reference; and (6) References recommendations: The authors have the right to refuse to cite improper references recommended by the peer reviewer(s), especially references published by the peer reviewer(s) him/herself (themselves). If the authors find the peer reviewer(s) request for the authors to cite improper references published by him/herself

(themselves), please send the peer reviewer's ID number to [editorialoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:editorialoffice@wjgnet.com). The Editorial Office will close and remove the peer reviewer from the F6Publishing system immediately. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade B. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the Biostatistics Review Certificate. The Institutional Review Board Approval Form and the informed consent were waived. No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an unsolicited manuscript. No financial support was obtained for the study. The topic has not previously been published in the WJO. 5 Issues raised: (1) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; and (2) The "Article Highlights" section is missing. Please add the "Article Highlights" section at the end of the main text. 6 Re-Review: Not required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

**Author response:**

- 1) All of the authors are UK born, native English speakers and therefore we have not used an English language editing service. We have revised the manuscript to correct for grammar, punctuation and spelling errors.
- 2) Original pictures – the 2 original pictures are now uploaded onto a .pptx file. Please note that the original figures were output from templating software. Other than the template overlay performed by TraumaCAD, there were no further annotations or editing of the images made by the authors.
- 3) All graphs are now arranged on a word (.doc) document and submitted separately.
- 4) 'Article Highlights' have now been included at the end of the main text

On my half of my co-authors, I hope that this revised document meets your high standards for publishing. Please don't hesitate to contact myself for further information as necessary.

R Sahemey  
14<sup>th</sup> August 2021