Reviewer's comments:

This is an interesting topic. The article is clear. These are my recommendations: - Review the core tip. - Include the "p" value in the comparations between 2 groups in page 5, 6 and table 1, for example in these sentences: "...AI aided colonoscopy had a dinner detection rate of 29.6% as compared to 19.3% without AI. In another recent media analysis involving 5 randomized control trials including 4354 patients, adenoma detection rate was 36.6% Will AI aided colonoscopy as compared to 25.2% in the standard control group[21]." - It would be interesting to include in the "Future Directions" it the authors think that there are some other possible uses of colonoscopy assisted by AI more than CRC and IBD. - Do you think that a commercial AI device trained with specific data can be used worldwide or could be interesting to re-train the algorithms with local data?

Answer to the reviewer:

We appreciate the feedback from the reviewer and we concur with the suggestions provided by the reviewer. We have modified the core tip, revised spelling errors, aded p values for studies on page 5 and 6 and added p values for studies in Table 1. Also we have formatted the references as per journal's requirements.

We thank the reviewer and editors for their time and efforts devoted tour manuscript. We expect that the manuscript will now be acceptable for the publication.