

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Virology

Manuscript NO: 69085

Title: The effects of COVID-19 in lymphoid malignancies

Reviewer's code: 05420387 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-23 08:23

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-23 08:28

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think that the Author rise important issues regarding the effect of Sars-CoV-2 infection on patients with haematologic malignancies, and that this letter could stimulate the debate on this matter.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Virology

Manuscript NO: 69085

Title: The effects of COVID-19 in lymphoid malignancies

Reviewer's code: 04031726 Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: Doctor, MD

Professional title: Associate Research Scientist, Attending Doctor, Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-23 01:59

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-24 18:56

Review time: 1 Day and 16 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [] Anonymous [Y] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a letter to the editor written by Dr. Özdemir Öner about the article: Riches JC. Impact of COVID-19 in patients with lymphoid malignancies. World J Virol 2021; 10(3): 97-110. In this letter, the author questions several terms used by Riches et al based on current literature. It's a rich discussion among the authors and I suggest responses by Riches et al.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Virology

Manuscript NO: 69085

Title: The effects of COVID-19 in lymphoid malignancies

Reviewer's code: 06006212 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2021-06-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-06-23 11:15

Reviewer performed review: 2021-06-25 11:03

Review time: 1 Day and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you very much for letting me contribute to the scientific achievements of the authors'. I understand the defects of the article by Riches JC and the points the authors addressed. Any kind of scientific articles have their own limitations and drawbacks. Now as clinicians, what we are expected to do is to reinforce the theory of the original investigations or point out the significant distortion. Here, the authors' claims were sensible. But I did not personally see the idea how to utilize these information in practical situation. On the other hand, the authors' comments are important because Review articles have the critical role to update the whole concept or management standard of the diseases. With this respect, adding these information is of great essence to provide readers with more accurate information.