

Point-to-point response

Manuscript entitled "Clinical Efficacy of the Ankle Spacer for the Treatment of Multiple Secondary Osteochondral Lesions of the Talus." is very interesting and up to date. The manuscript is very comprehensive, and the content is innovative and exciting for the reader. Therefore, I am accepting this manuscript to publish in the World Journal of Orthopedics. The author should address the following minor comments.

Comment 1:

Most of the references are before 2010, need to update with recent five years references

Response to comment 1:

Thank you for the comment. This was adjusted accordingly. The majority of the references were updated to the recent five years though it must be stated that some vital references before 2010 on ankle implants are necessary to be included in the present manuscript.

Comment 2

The whole manuscript needs language editing; line number 153 mentioned that all patients receiving the Ankle Spacer received 2grams of cefazoline preoperatively. Should be revised as "before receiving the ankle spacer, the patient received 2grams of cefazoline preoperatively". (need to change the sentence)

Response to comment 2:

Thank you for the comment. This was adjusted accordingly. The manuscript underwent a thorough language editing.

Comment 3:

Figure 3 needs to include in the manuscript. The manuscript incorporated 3A, 3B, and 3C. Needs to specify the correct citation in the text.

Response to comment 3:

This has been adjusted.

Comment 4:

Figure 4 needs to include in the manuscript. In the manuscript incorporated 4A and 4B. Needs to specify the correct citation in the text.

Response to comment 4:

This has been adjusted.

Comment 5:

In the text, needs to mention the Ankle Spacer weight (gm)

Response to comment 5:

This has been included in the methods section of the manuscript. Thank you for the comment

Comment 6:

The author should carefully check the formatting and grammatical errors

Response to comment 6:

Thank you for the comment. This was adjusted accordingly. The manuscript underwent a thorough language editing.

Comment 7:

There is a lot of description of progressive work throughout the manuscript. The author should show some scientific relation between them.

Response to comment 7.

Thank you for the comment. This was adjusted and incorporated accordingly.