



吉林大学

中日联谊医院

China-Japan Union Hospital

Jilin University

Jin-Lei Wang

Editor-in-Chief

World journal of clinical cases

Sep 14, 2021

Dear Editor,

Thanks for your email of Aug 15 regarding our manuscript (Manuscript NO.: 70610, Case Report) entitled " Treatment of peri-implant keratinized mucosa recession defect with modified apically repositioned flap surgery combined with xenogeneic collagen matrix and plate-rich fibrin: a case report ", submitted for publication in *World journal of clinical cases* as a regular article.

We have paid our close attention to each point raised by the reviewers. Revision has been made to the manuscript and marked and highlighted by **red font** in the revised manuscript. Details of our responses are also given in this letter. We highly appreciated the comments and suggestions from referee and you, and hope the revision will satisfy the requirements of high scientific standard of your journal.

Thank you again for your consideration.

Best wishes,

Wenzhi Song

Wenzhi Song, Ph. D.

Professor of Department of Stomatology, China-Japan Union Hospital, Jilin University, Changchun 130031, China

Tel&Fax: 0086-0431-84995573

E-mail: 1580933509@qq.com

NOTE: The revised contents in the manuscript were labeled in **RED**. Our responses were written in **BLUE** just after each comment (BLACK) of the reviewers.

Responses to Referees

Dear Referees:

Thanks for your comments concerning our manuscript. Your valuable and constructive suggestions are very helpful for the improvement of the quality of our paper. We have carefully made corresponding revisions and corrections. We hope the revised manuscript could meet your requirements.

Response to the reviewer #1

1. There is a lack of description of time in Figure 5. A about how long the healing process has taken after the surgery of keratinized mucosa augmentation, distinct marking of timeline could help readers to form a clear understanding of the treatment process.

[Reply]: Thanks for your instructive and pertinent comments for further improving the quality of our manuscript. According to your good suggestions, we have carefully checked and added the description of time in Figure 5. (Figure 5 : After 4 weeks,.....) All of these revisions were labeled in Red in the revised manuscript.

2. The abbreviation format needs to be consistent, such as “ARF (apically positioned flap)” should be changed to “apically positioned flap (ARF) ”.

[Reply]: Thanks for your constructive suggestion. According to the comments, we have carefully checked and revised all abbreviation of the article. (See Discussion section in the revised manuscript)

3. The research status of the application of PRF in the soft tissue augmentation should be summarized in the introduction part, which could provide convincing evidence for the following-up treatment process.

[Reply]: According to the comments from the referee, we carefully restructured the introduction in the revised manuscript. (See Introduction section in the revised manuscript)

Response to the Editor

1. As the revision process results in changes to the content of the manuscript, language problems may exist in the revised manuscript. Thus, it is necessary to perform further language polishing that will ensure all grammatical, syntactical, formatting and other related errors be resolved, so that the revised manuscript will meet the publication requirement (Grade A).

[Reply]: Thanks for your instructive and pertinent comments for further improving the quality of our manuscript. According to your good suggestions, we have finished the language editing in AJE and obtained the language editing certificate.

2. Abbreviations

[Reply]: According to the basic rules- on abbreviations, we have checked all abbreviations of the article and revised the expression error.

3. Title

[Reply]: According to the journal request, we have revised the title of the article and make it meet the requirement of less than 18 words. (See **Title in the revised manuscript**)