

Dear Editor,

We are pleased to submit our revised manuscript entitled “**The Evolving Role of Endoscopic Ultrasound in Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma Treatment: A Review Focusing on Technical Success, Safety and Efficacy**”

We thank the reviewers and the editors for their valuable comments that significantly aimed to improve our manuscript.

Dear Editor, we hope that you will find our manuscript finally suitable for publication in your prestigious journal.

Yours Sincerely

Tawfik

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: The paper " The Evolving Role of Endoscopic Ultrasound in Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma Treatment: A Review Focusing on Technical Success, Safety and Efficacy " is an excellent review of the literature. The paper presents very relevant the role of EUS treatment methods in unresectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma and updated comprehensive review. The paper makes important contributions in terms of the effect on survival and palliation in exploring treatments of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. The specialized literature that the authors present is valuable, current, and represents important landmarks on the basis of which the conclusions were written. I mention once again that the work is valuable and has a high scientific level. Suggested improvements are as follows: It will be a more fascinating paper if you create one illustration that summarizes the differences in each treatment for pancreatic tumors by EUS. Treatment methods by directly approaching tumor For example, EUS-guided intra-tumoral injections, EUS-guided ablation therapies • Treatment for pancreatic tumors applying EUS-FNI For example, EUS-guided fiducial markers placement (FMP) • Indirect treatment for pancreatic cancer For example, CPN / B I think it would be nice to make one illustration that makes it easy to understand the difference between the purpose and role of each method.

Answer: The authors accept the comment. We have added a figure summarizing EUS-guided treatment options for pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Thank you

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: It is a good review to summarize the utilization of EUS in the treatment of pancreatic cancer. Most EUS-FNI treatments are yet far from being optimal and standardized. The single use of EUS may not be truly helpful in clinical practice. The combination of EUS and other endoscopic technique should be mentioned in this review, for example, ERCP with radioactive stent.

Answer: The authors accept the comment. Data were added and highlighted within the text.

Thank you

Science editor:

This paper summarized the role of Endoscopic Ultrasound treatment methods in unresectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma. The review is comprehensive and well written. The paper makes important contributions in terms of the effect on survival and palliation in exploring treatments of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. But some comments need to be taken into consideration. The author need to discuss the combination of EUS and other endoscopic technique in clinical practice. It will be better to create a illustration that summarizes the differences in each treatment for pancreatic tumors by EUS. And other questions asked by reviewers need to be answered. It is recommended to refer to more literature in the past five years and update it to a certain extent according to the

requirements of the journal to better show the current research status in this field.

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Answer: Dear Science editor, thank you for your comments. We have addressed all reviewers' comments within the text and added a figure.

Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastroenterology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, the author(s) must add a figure (medical imaging) to the manuscript. There are no restrictions on the figures (color, B/W).

Answer: Dear company editor-in-chief, we have added a figure as requested. Thank you