

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 72087

Title: Existing fixation modalities for Jones type fifth metatarsal fracture fixation pose

high rates of complications and nonunion

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05184170

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Specialist, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-04 14:07

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-16 17:52

Review time: 12 Days and 3 Hours

| Scientific quality | [Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
|--------------------|---|
| Language quality | [Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | [Y]Yes []No |



| Peer-reviewer | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| statements | Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I believe this manuscript represents a very interesting and enriching discussion on Jones fractures fixation with a robust literature research. Its importance lies in drawing attention to where we are now concerning the relationship of existing fixation methods of this type of fracture with the complications that may arise (fixation failures, nonunion and nerve injuries) and the path we are taking to improve our results. The main limitation of this study is its design. It is based on expert opinion.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Orthopedics

Manuscript NO: 72087

Title: Existing fixation modalities for Jones type fifth metatarsal fracture fixation pose

high rates of complications and nonunion

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04083095

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: N/A

Professional title: Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2021-10-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-10-20 10:52

Reviewer performed review: 2021-10-20 11:11

Review time: 1 Hour

| Scientific quality | [] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish |
|--------------------|--|
| Language quality | [] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion | [] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection |
| Re-review | []Yes [Y]No |



| Peer-reviewer | Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous |
|---------------|--|
| statements | Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think the reference list should be reformatted according to the journal's rules