

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 73311

Title: Prognostic factors of recurrent intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma after hepatectomy:
A retrospective study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03294368

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: DSc, MD, PhD

Professional title: Dean, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Georgia

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-11-24 18:40

Reviewer performed review: 2021-11-29 16:34

Review time: 4 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is an interesting paper, which supports to implement the better management of recurrent intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma after hepatectomy. The title adequately reflects the content of the research. The abstract well summarizes the work described in the manuscript. Besides, the abstract is well understandable independently from the entire paper, which should be considered as an important feature. The aim is clear and resulted from the background. The statistical methods chosen by the authors for this retrospective study is relevant and supports to clear understanding the results. The provided figure and tables are well composed and understandable. The cited literature is modern. The interpretation and discussion of the obtained data seems logical and appropriate to the data of the used literature. I'm not the English language wearer and can't assess fully the quality of language of the manuscript, but it is quite acceptable for me.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 73311

Title: Prognostic factors of recurrent intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma after hepatectomy:
A retrospective study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05947685

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2021-12-16 20:36

Reviewer performed review: 2021-12-25 02:29

Review time: 8 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this study, the authors reported the prognostic factors of recurrent cholangiocarcinoma after hepatectomy. Although the topic is of interest and the article is well organized and well written, the information contributed to scientific and clinical communities is limited and lacking of novelty. The investigation on prognostic factors are limited for a few known factors and the positive results are found with those known non-modifiable factors (or few of modifiable factors). The authors may extend scope of the investigation to the other view, e.g., the underlying disease, other co-morbidities, other current medications which may affect the progression of disease. Therefore, in a point of view from this reviewer, the provided information is not sufficient to publish in a current form and for this journal. Please also consider these minor points: - Check the definition of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. - Clarify when was the earliest time to follow up the patients after hepatectomy. - Clarify how often the following up was done, this could affect the time of detecting recurrent tumor. - Check 95% CI of HR in Table 2, at the row of treatment after recurrence.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

Manuscript NO: 73311

Title: Prognostic factors of recurrent intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma after hepatectomy:
A retrospective study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05947685

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2021-11-17

Reviewer chosen by: Yun-Xiaojian Wu

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-28 08:27

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-28 08:55

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thanks authors for your revision. All comments raised by this reviewer are properly addressed. The manuscript may be accepted in the current form.