

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**Manuscript NO:** 73803

**Title:** Management of incidentally discovered appendiceal neuroendocrine tumors after an appendicectomy

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03738573

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Spain

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-12-07

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2021-12-08 02:34

**Reviewer performed review:** 2021-12-19 08:46

**Review time:** 11 Days and 6 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

**Peer-reviewer  
statements**

Peer-Review: ☒ Anonymous ☐ Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: ☐ Yes ☒ No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The writers have conducted a complete and systematic review regarding neuroendocrine tumours, which can be helpful in clinic work, so we encourage its publication after minor revision.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**Manuscript NO:** 73803

**Title:** Management of incidentally discovered appendiceal neuroendocrine tumors after an appendicectomy

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03763614

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Academic Fellow, Associate Chief Physician, Doctor, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Spain

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-12-07

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-01-03 15:08

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-01-04 13:33

**Review time:** 22 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Title: The title of this review needs to be modified and polished. "Management of incidentally discovered appendiceal neuroendocrine tumor after right hemicolectomy" may be better. Abstract. The conclusion should be more precisely. For example, "other factors such as mesoappendix infiltration, lymphovascular invasion, or tumor grade may also play a role" could be changed into "mesoappendix infiltration and lymphovascular invasion were risk factors of .....". the abstract had better reflect the core tip, the risk-benefit ratio was not be found in the abstract. Key words. OK Background. "They are typically diagnosed at a younger age than other neuroendocrine tumors and are often an incidental finding after an appendectomy." How old and the reported rate of incidental finding had better to be concluded in the background. Methods. No applicable. Results and Discussion: Authors had concluded the management and controversy of appendiceal neuroendocrine well. Just the language need to be polished. Illustrations and tables. Fine. Actually, if author show the figure of EC-NET, why not presented related figures of other pathologic types. Biostatistics: No applicable Units: No applicable References. OK Quality of manuscript organization and presentation. Language polish was needed. Research methods and reporting. PRISMA 2009 Checklist was needed. Ethics statements. No applicable

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**Manuscript NO:** 73803

**Title:** Management of incidentally discovered appendiceal neuroendocrine tumors after an appendicectomy

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03447521

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Director, Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Spain

**Manuscript submission date:** 2021-12-07

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-01-03 12:39

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-01-16 11:12

**Review time:** 12 Days and 22 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Thanks for the opportunity to review this article. It is a well presented review in which the authors discuss the indications for right hemicolectomy in patients with appendiceal neuroendocrine tumors. They also review the evidence to postoperative complications, the impact on survival and recurrence, quality of life, and follow-up, and discuss whether right hemicolectomy should be an appropriate option for appendiceal NETs. The review is very comprehensive, but it will be better if the data on survival and recurrence rates from the cited literature are presented in a table in the "Survival and recurrence" part. This will allow us to more visually compare the prognostic impact of appendectomy alone with right hemicolectomy.