

This is a point by point to the reviewers' comments

Thanks for your suggestions

Reviewer 02728463:

Comments: Dear Authors, Sorry to say, but the manuscript does not reach the quality of the journal. You should try publishing it elsewhere

Reply: Thanks for the comment, however we believe that the manuscript is well done otherwise we would not send it to the Journal for publication.

Reviewer 00225294:

Comments: The authors provided the suggested changes in the manuscript. Only a minor spelling revision is required (for example, page 10, line 170, consider significantly)

Reply: Thank you.

Reviewer 02728463:

Comments: Dear Authors, This is a nice review. However, I have few questions. First, it is a very strange that Italian living in Italy is speaking native English.. INTRODUCTION well written, no comments. However, the sentences are too extensive, hard to follow. Lines 76-80 should be referenced. Lines 84-90 - reference? Actually robotics are used from 1985 - Puma robotic system used for brain tumor biopsies. As this is a review - the more extensive review and discussion must be performed. Only citing few meta-analyses does not improve the article. The searching process, exclusion/inclusion of the articles must be improved. Limitation section must be inserted.

Reply: As indicated, missing citations have been added (in particular bibliographic reference 4, 5 , 7 and 8).Furthermore, by revising the whole manuscript, some sentences have been shortened to become easier to follow. We have deepened the role of robotics in surgery and its history (reference 28). Limitation and inclusion/exclusion criteria have been added. The discussion chapter is the "artificial intelligence in cancer surgery" itself. As a minireview, our goal was to show the basis of AI and its applications on oncologic surgery by being precise and concise.

Gerardo Cioffi was born in Troy NY, he studied in America until high school so he is a full-fledged native speaker

Sincerely Ugo Cioffi