Revisions to Manuscript NO.: 74223

Dear Editor,

we would like to thank you for the opportunity to revise the submitted manuscript.

Please find our revisions in the attached document and the answers to your questions/ recommendations below.

Best regards,

Andreas G. Franke

Answers to the peer-review-reports:

Thank you for your valuable review of our manuscript. In the following we respond in detail to every issue raised. All changes made in the manuscript were highlighted in blue to track the revisions.

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: This is an empirical field study, reporting comparative analysis between metamphetamine users and other drug users in terms of cognitive, psychosocial functioning and treatment adherence. There have been outlilned differences between the two groups which however do not affect the effectiveness from inpatient treatment. In that respect the prognosis of treatment outcome in metamphetamine drug users is defined as comparable to that in other drug users.

Thank you for your comment. We have sent the revised manuscript to a native English-speaking expert to upgrade the language quality grade. We did perform further language polishing that will ensure all grammatical, syntactical, formatting and other related errors be resolved.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: This study entitled "Treatment outcome, cognitive function and psychopathology in methamphetamine users compared to other substance users" seems to have been generally well executed and written. Furthermore, I believe that this topic will be of great interest to the readers. I have only a few minor suggestions to improve the quality of the paper.

Title Please add the type of your study in the title. Introduction Please state the hypothesis of your study at the end of Introduction.

Thank you for pointing this out, we completely agree. We added the type of our study in the title. Moreover we stated the hypothesis of our study at the end of Introduction.

Answers to the Editorial Office's comments:

Thank you for your valuable review of our manuscript. In the following we respond in detail to every issue raised. All changes made in the manuscript were highlighted in blue to track the revisions.

(1) Science editor:

This manuscript assessed potential differences in cognitive functioning and psychopathology between methamphetamine users and other substance users and possible correlations with treatment outcomes. Please indicate the serial number of the references, and indicate the serial number when citing in the text; please state the research hypothesis at the end of the introduction.

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Thank you for this important comment. We did indicate the serial number of the references and indicated the serial number when cited in the text. Furthermore we stated the research hypothesis at the end of Introduction.

(2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Psychiatry, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Please provide decomposable Figures (in which all components are movable and editable), organize them into a single PowerPoint file. Please authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is 'original', the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Thank you very much for your valuable comment. We now do provide decomposable figures organized in a single power point file that meet all the specified requirements. We confirm that the figures are generated de novo by the authors for this paper. Since these are "originals", we have added the appropriate copyright information at the bottom right of each image/figure in PowerPoint.