

Reviewer #1:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade D (Fair)

**Language Quality:** Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Major revision

**Specific Comments to Authors:** This paper has some problems (see below) and is not acceptable for publication in the present form.

Major points

1. Title: Inappropriate. Change accordingly. (There was only one case of CPH.) We think the title of the study is appropriate. Since there was one case of CPH, the phrase "prevalence" was avoided in the title. If the reviewer still objects to the title, we are waiting for his suggestions.

2. Were there no other abnormalities than CPH in this series? Information that other abnormalities have been excluded has been added to the Materials and Methods section.

3. In my opinion, it is problematic to determine the frequency of the disease in one case. In order to solve this problem, the following sentence has been added to the conclusion part: "Present study was not conducted with a large sample group and only one case of CPH was found. in order to reliably obtain the prevalence of CPH, studies with larger sample groups and different ethnic populations are necessary."

4. English: To be revised. The article has been revised. A current professional language editing service certificate has been added.

Minor points

1, Please define the inclusion criteria more clearly (P.3: Methods) Inclusion criteria are specified in the materials and methods section. "The images of the participants who underwent panoramic graphy were analysed retrospectively. The study was performed on images without artefacts that could adversely affect the evaluation. The participants included in this study were in the second decade and older."

2.(P.3.L.64): Maxillofacial radiologist's experience in this field. Please describe his measurement variability more clearly. The images were reviewed by a maxillofacial radiologist with five years of experience. This information has been added to the image analysis section.

Maxillofacial radiologist made measurements using the same points on panoramic charts two weeks apart. The Spearman's rho correlation test was used to evaluate the intra-observer agreement. Based on the Spearman's rho analysis, the statistically significant ( $p < 0.01$ ) perfect agreement was found between the Cor-Go: Cd-Go ratios calculated at two-week intervals (the rho value for the left side equals 0.987, for the right side 0.978)

3.(P.5, Results): Please describe the results seen in the CPH case in "Result". The measurements of the CPH case have been added to the results section. "CPH was detected in only one patient. The measurements of the patient were as follows: Cor-Go/Cd-Go 76.6/63.6 mm for the right side, 69.1/54.8 mm for the left side, Cor-Go:Cd-Go 1.20 for the right side and 1.26 for the left side."

Reviewer #2:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade C (Good)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Minor revision

**Specific Comments to Authors:** This is an interesting research. I recommend that the manuscript should be arranged according to the requirements of the journal. The article was arranged according to the requirements of the journal. Language edited. A current professional language editing service certificate has been added.