## Re: Itemised response to editorial and reviewer comments

Manuscript NO: 74623

Thank you to the reviewers and editorial team for their recommendations which, I believe, have elevated the quality of this manuscript

- Responses to reviewer and editorial comments below are in blue
- Changes in the revised manuscript have been made using tracked changes


## Reviewer comments:

Reviewer \#1: This manuscript is interesting and the information was not common in the literature; however, the language required further polish before considering for publication in its current edition

- I have made numerous changes to the language and syntax of the article. I believe that it reads more coherently and with better flow. Language 'polishing' via a third party has been recommended. However, I have requested an appraisal with author-driven editing in the first instance.

Reviewer \#2: This case report may be helpful to daily prescribers of DAA to HCV- infected patients. Although it is already known that GP has only a potential interaction with carbamazepine the results regarding safety, in this case, a report deserves to be described.

- Minor language polishing has been recommended by reviewer 2. This has been attended to


## Editorial comments:

ABBREVIATIONS: Guidelines have been provided by the editorial office.

- I believe that all abbreviation recommendations have now been adhered to


## RUNNING TITLE:

- Amended to be within 6-word limit


## PROFESSIONAL LANGUAGE EDITING:

- I have edited the language personally, and will include a statement to replace the language certificate. I appreciate your consideration in affording me the opportunity to make language edits without enlisting a $3^{\text {rd }}$ party.


## CASE SERIES FORMATING:

- I have reformatted the case studies to align with the electronic / online manuscript proforma

TABLE:

- I have included a summary table for each of the cases

Thank you for your consideration of the revised manuscript.

Kind Regards,

Michael Braude

