Re: Itemised response to editorial and reviewer comments

Manuscript NO: 74623

Thank you to the reviewers and editorial team for their recommendations which, I believe, have elevated the quality of this manuscript

Responses to reviewer and editorial comments below are in blue

Changes in the revised manuscript have been made using tracked changes

Reviewer comments:

Reviewer #1: This manuscript is interesting and the information was not common in the literature; however, the language required further polish before considering for publication in its current edition

• I have made numerous changes to the language and syntax of the article. I believe that it reads more coherently and with better flow. Language 'polishing' via a third party has been recommended. However, I have requested an appraisal with author-driven editing in the first instance.

Reviewer #2: This case report may be helpful to daily prescribers of DAA to HCV- infected patients. Although it is already known that GP has only a potential interaction with carbamazepine the results regarding safety, in this case, a report deserves to be described.

• Minor language polishing has been recommended by reviewer 2. This has been attended to

Editorial comments:

ABBREVIATIONS: Guidelines have been provided by the editorial office.

I believe that all abbreviation recommendations have now been adhered to

RUNNING TITLE:

• Amended to be within 6-word limit

PROFESSIONAL LANGUAGE EDITING:

• I have edited the language personally, and will include a statement to replace the language certificate. I appreciate your consideration in affording me the opportunity to make language edits without enlisting a 3rd party.

CASE SERIES FORMATING:

• I have reformatted the case studies to align with the electronic / online manuscript proforma

TABLE:

• I have included a summary table for each of the cases

Thank you for your consideration of the revised manuscript.

Kind Regards,

Michael Braude