

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 74722

Title: Disagreements in the therapeutic use of mesenchymal stem cell-derived secretome

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02446101 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Hungary

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-03

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-04 14:09

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-04 15:06

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, the authors proposed that the use of MSC-derived secretomes for therapeutic purposes must be approached with extreme caution. I totally agree with them. Secretomes is currently one of the hottest spots in the stem cells field. There is no doubt that its safety is the most important issue. So, acceptance should be recommended for this manuscript.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com **https:**//www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 74722

Title: Disagreements in the therapeutic use of mesenchymal stem cell-derived secretome

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00724464 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: Hungary

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-03

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-05 21:33

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-10 13:10

Review time: 4 Days and 15 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript "Disagreements in the therapeutic use of mesenchymal stem cell-derived secretome" (Manuscript Type: Field of Vision) presents a perspective on the use of secretomes, with their advantages and disadvantages in relation to MSCs. I am in favor of publication.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 74722

Title: Disagreements in the therapeutic use of mesenchymal stem cell-derived secretome

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03550401 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Hungary

Manuscript submission date: 2022-01-03

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-01-04 00:20

Reviewer performed review: 2022-01-15 13:58

Review time: 11 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com **https:**//www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review aims to summary the disagreements in the therapeutic use of mesenchymal stem cell-derived secretome. However, the manuscript is not well written. 1. Abstract should be independent and self-explanatory, and have the same amount of main information as the literature, that is, the necessary information can be obtained without reading the full text. However, the summary written by the author lacks logic and fails to name the significance of writing this review. 2. The purpose of this article is to elucidate the disagreements in the therapeutic use of mesenchymal stem cell-derived secretome. However, the full text of the summary of this part of the content is too single, too few references. For example, advantages and disadvantages of secretory and conditioned media from MSCs derived secretome as new therapeutic strategies. Immunomodulation and antiinflammatory activity of MSCs derived secretome. Anti-apoptotic activity, wound healing and tissue repair effects, and neuroprotective and neurotrophic effects of MSCs derived secretome, etc. 3. The content introduction should include: why is this study conducted? What is the theoretical or practical basis for setting the topic? What are the innovations? What are the theoretical and/or practical implications? This review does not have this part. 4. The discussion section should define the key words involved in the paper's ideas, what scope to discuss the problem, how to use this idea. The discussion section of this review should be There are misnomers and grammatical errors in the language, which need to be further modified.