Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

## (1) Science editor:

The authors have made 3 case presentations demonstrating the efficacy of intraoperative ERCP. I belive ethe study is well design and presented Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing) Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)

## Thank you so much for your kind words. We are looking forward to having this published.

## (2) Company editor-in-chief:

I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastroenterology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, "Figure 1Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...". Please provide decomposable Figures (in which all components are movable and editable), organize them into a single PowerPoint file. In order to respect and protect the author's intellectual property rights and prevent others from misappropriating figures without the author's authorization or abusing figures without indicating the source, we will indicate the author's copyright for figures originally generated by the author, and if the author has used a figure published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is 'original', the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022

## In accordance with your recommendation, we have compiled all figures into a PowerPoint file. There are no de novo figures that would require a copyright statement.

Additionally, we have kept the article formatting as it was originally submitted i.e. case 1 followed by case 2 in the manuscript. With the AI automated manuscript editor I was unable to adjust the format as it was submitted for your original review. Please let me know if you need anything else. Thank you so much for all your time and effort.

Reviewer #1: Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good) Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing) Conclusion: Major revision Specific Comments to Authors: None

Reviewer #2: Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent) Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing) Conclusion: Accept (High priority) Specific Comments to Authors: This series demonstrates the efficacy, safety, and feasibility of intraoperative ERCP as a diagnostic and therapeutic tool. Both patients also underwent successful stent removal without any post-ERCP complications and resolution in the PD injury. This series represent a novel treatment flow chart for this complex injury and has not reported previously. This paper was well written, and the conclusion was precisely demonstrated by the results. I would like to recommend its publication.

We thank the reviewers for taking the time to review our case series. We are very excited to have this accepted and further the literature of intraoperative ERCP for pancreatic ductal injuries.