



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Meta-Analysis*

Manuscript NO: 75545

Title: Review with meta-analysis relating North American, European and Japanese snus or smokeless tobacco use to major smoking-related diseases

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 06245769

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MSc

Professional title: Lecturer

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Ethiopia

Author’s Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-11 12:37

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-14 06:59

Review time: 2 Days and 18 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I thank the editor for giving me the opportunity to review the manuscript and thank you Author's for interesting in the topic of smokeless tobacco, ST. But the document sent for revision is too huge probably un-necessary files were attached mistakenly or some thing else. Too many tables and two separate portions of references included??? Either the editor or corresponding author have to revise this and related issues before sending for revision. Regards.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Meta-Analysis*

Manuscript NO: 75545

Title: Review with meta-analysis relating North American, European and Japanese snus or smokeless tobacco use to major smoking-related diseases

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04169369

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-21 13:06

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-21 14:03

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

the manuscript analyzed multiple studies done in US and Scandinavia on smokeless tobacco and analyzed the risk of COPD, IHD, Stroke and lung cancer and proposed that the risk of smokeless tobacco is lesser than smoking tobacco and suggested the use of smokeless tobacco as a substitute of smoking tobacco. however, the use of smokeless tobacco is maximum in Asian countries and the studies from these countries were not included in the study. this is one of the major limitation of the study. moreover, there are nicotine based preparations available in market and approved by FDA for deaddiction of smoking tobacco. the conclusion that smokeless tobacco can be a lesser harmful substitute for smoking tobacco is giving a wrong message for readers as smokeless tobacco has been proved to be harmful and cause multiple cancers. future studies should consider the other effects of smokeless tobacco affecting the mortality and morbidity like oral cancer.