

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Critical Care Medicine*

**Manuscript NO:** 75942

**Title:** Development and pilot implementation of a patient-oriented discharge summary for critically ill patients

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05080957

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** DNB, MBBS, MD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** Canada

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-03-01

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-03-15 10:45

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-03-21 06:24

**Review time:** 5 Days and 19 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Greetings I read the manuscript with interest. The authors have chosen a vital topic as a quality improvement program. In my opinion patient-oriented discharge summary for ICU patients are needed and will be helpful for the quality healthcare delivery. The author have developed the summary involving multiple stakeholders and tested it as pilot project. The results are satisfactory. The methodology employed and tested is good and I do not have any major comments to make. However, a few minor aspect can be addressed. 1. Abstract can be shortened slightly, so the background. 2. I would suggest presenting data as absolute number and percentage both in the abstract and result section. 3. A few journal names are not mention as per abbreviated form, it needs to be uniform. Over all the language and presentation is good ad happy to recommend the article for further processing for possible acceptance. Best of luck

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Critical Care Medicine*

**Manuscript NO:** 75942

**Title:** Development and pilot implementation of a patient-oriented discharge summary for critically ill patients

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 02446627

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** FACP, MD, MPhil

**Professional title:** Full Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** United States

**Author's Country/Territory:** Canada

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-03-01

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-03-26 03:22

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-04-01 20:56

**Review time:** 6 Days and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The study is clinically important, but out of more than 300 patients only 9 participated including the family members, which makes it a huge limitation. Moreover, one of the comments from the nurse was very practical as this takes an enormous time. I would suggest that author can provide some data on the use of previous models of efficient discharge methods on readmission reduction rate, improved health status with taking medication in proper time and proper technique (especially inhalers) and understanding the side effect. Authors need to make a case of cost-benefit of putting extra time and effort upfront with efficient discharge, rewarded with decrease in readmission and healthcare cost

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Critical Care Medicine*

**Manuscript NO:** 75942

**Title:** Development and pilot implementation of a patient-oriented discharge summary for critically ill patients

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 02590390

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** N/A

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Pakistan

**Author's Country/Territory:** Canada

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-03-01

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-03-22 03:48

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-04-04 18:42

**Review time:** 13 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <b>Y</b> ] Anonymous [ ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ <b>Y</b> ] No
-------------------------------------	---

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors have made a good attempt on developing an instrument for a relatively neglected healthcare issue. This will prompt future validation studies in this tegrad.