



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Orthopedics*

Manuscript NO: 76028

Title: Outcomes After Arthroscopic Repair of Rotator Cuff Tears in the Setting of Mild to Moderate Glenohumeral Osteoarthritis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 01206157

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-02-27 01:32

Reviewer performed review: 2022-02-27 07:33

Review time: 6 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors I read your manuscript and found to be interesting. However, there are certain flaws in methodology, results interpretation and discussion. It is mentioned in the manuscript attached.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Orthopedics*

Manuscript NO: 76028

Title: Outcomes After Arthroscopic Repair of Rotator Cuff Tears in the Setting of Mild to Moderate Glenohumeral Osteoarthritis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06107136

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-02-26

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-02 16:48

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-02 21:26

Review time: 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

significance and relevance to clinical practice sufficiently. Lines

207-209 - How many had follow up longer than 2 years? Why include this comment?

This could possibly expose a bit a statistical fragility in this study if there are only a handful of patients that were followed past 2 years, but 4 of them went on to get an arthroplasty (when only 2 total did within the 2 years). Lines

227-228 - More of a reason not to include the comment on the patients followed up after 2 years until you have data on all at another future timepoint - say 5 yrs. 8

Illustrations and tables. The figures, diagrams and tables are sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents. 9 Biostatistics. The manuscript meets

the requirements of biostatistics. 10 Units. The manuscript meets the requirements of use of SI units. 11 References adequate in number and quality. 12 Quality of

manuscript organization and presentation. The manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and presented. The style, language and grammar is accurate and

appropriate. 13 Research methods and reporting. Authors should have prepared their manuscripts according to manuscript type and the appropriate categories, as follows: (1)

CARE Checklist (2013) - Case report; (2) CONSORT 2010 Statement - Clinical Trials study, Prospective study, Randomized Controlled trial, Randomized Clinical trial; (3)

PRISMA 2009 Checklist - Evidence-Based Medicine, Systematic review, Meta-Analysis; (4) STROBE Statement - Case Control study, Observational study, Retrospective Cohort

study; and (5) The ARRIVE Guidelines - Basic study. The author prepared the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting. 14 Ethics

statements. For all manuscripts involving human studies and/or animal experiments, author(s) must submit the related formal ethics documents that were reviewed and

approved by their local ethical review committee. The manuscript met the requirements of ethics.