

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Meta-Analysis*

Manuscript NO: 76661

Title: Clinical outcomes of the omicron variant compared with previous SARS-CoV-2 variants; meta-analysis of current reports

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03477174

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: Iran

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-24 18:43

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-27 15:28

Review time: 2 Days and 20 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No
-------------------------------------	---

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Scientifically, it is a good article. However, an important correction should be made in the English language of the article.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Meta-Analysis*

Manuscript NO: 76661

Title: Clinical outcomes of the omicron variant compared with previous SARS-CoV-2 variants; meta-analysis of current reports

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06251480

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Ethiopia

Author's Country/Territory: Iran

Manuscript submission date: 2022-03-24

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-03-24 12:23

Reviewer performed review: 2022-03-30 05:19

Review time: 5 Days and 16 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Title: Clinical outcomes of the omicron variant compared with previous SARS-CoV-2 variants; meta-analysis of current reports The meta-analysis piqued my interest, so I read it carefully. The authors did a fantastic job, and they performed a clearer meta-analysis on recent findings that compare the clinical outcomes of the omicron variant to previous SARS-CoV-2 variants. Authors, thank you for taking the time to write such a thorough review of this intriguing title; nevertheless, please address the following points: - Δ 69-70.... make symbol Δ clearer for reader, what it represents. - The sentence... "According to animal models investigations, the severity of symptoms, viral load was less severe in the omicron variant compared with previous reported SARS-CoV-2 variants" check for clarity. - In the sentence..." Data were pooled using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) software version 2.2 (Biostat, Englewood, NJ, USA)." ...it seems author desired to say pooled instead of polled. - Similarly," We polled the data on 887,132..... - Are there only 13 studies identified for the analysis on this two viruses? - Citing figures and tables in the text is recommended. - Double check for possible word redundancy, typos and grammatical errors.