

Reply to reviewers:

Reviewer 1:

1. The flow chart of the article would present the main idea and structure; hence it can be presented as a frame.

Ans: Changes have been incorporated into the revised manuscript

2. Some figures about CT or MRI should be provided.

Ans: Image file has been added in the revised manuscript

Reviewer 2:

Your manuscript is well established and properly written yet what might increase its visibility is adding some illustrated imaging reflecting what you are describing in imaging findings

Ans: Image file has been added in the revised manuscript

Reviewer 3:

1) As causes of Immunosuppression in mucormycosis were included in the study. No comparison of data in Covid and Noncovid patients with mucormycosis.

Ans: As clearly mentioned the main aim of the study was to deal with rhinocerebral mucormycosis in COVID patients only.

2) Number of patients were too less for statistical Analysis.

Ans: We strongly agree that number of patients were less in this study. But authors have not performed any tests of significance from the above data. The data is descriptive only.

3) Discussion was not written properly.

Ans: Revision have been done to include photographs and edit the grammatical errors