

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Orthopedics*

Manuscript NO: 76993

Title: Hamstring autograft utilization in reconstructing anterior cruciate ligament: A review of harvesting techniques, graft preparation, and different fixation methods

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00735715

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-17 16:41

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-26 04:26

Review time: 8 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

**Peer-reviewer
statements**

Peer-Review: [☒] Anonymous [☐] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [☐] Yes [☒] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you authors for giving me an opportunity, review your article. The article is about the different approaches for harvesting the hamstring graft, its fixation methods in ACL reconstruction. The authors have written the review clearly and extensively. I have only one suggestion it is better you could include different articles used in your review in tables and discuss about the main ones in the text. Regards

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Orthopedics*

Manuscript NO: 76993

Title: Hamstring autograft utilization in reconstructing anterior cruciate ligament: A review of harvesting techniques, graft preparation, and different fixation methods

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05465722

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Academic Research

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-14

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-31 06:12

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-31 06:30

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This manuscript goes into extensive detail on hamstring graft reconstruction of the ACL and presents itself as a review type article. However, the methodology section of this paper could be further developed. Specifically, it seems the authors of this manuscript present a systematic-review style of paper, but this review also has characteristics which make it fit a narrative review. Regardless, it would benefit greatly to include specific search methodology in the form of a query, other than the direct terms. Additionally, was the term IPBSN Injury search directly into Pubmed, or were variations allowed? please specify this in the methodology for all terms of interest. The methodology does not elaborate on temporal limits to publication dates. This may lead to assumption of all article availables. Please specify The methodology may also benefit from providing additional parameters such as "full-text" status, etc. Were PRISMA guidelines observed in this paper? If so, this ought to be specified. However, if this was a narrative review, then were there any guidelines followed for the appraisal of literature? For example, it would benefit the findings of this study if articles were appraised using a narrative review checklist or guide such as SANRA. If guidelines are used, please also consider providing diagrams. Additionally, if this was a systematic review design, were AMSTAR 2 guidelines established? "The large number of articles of different study designs that reported no difference in hamstring strength between the two groups could be attributed to the method of strength evaluation used" - please cite This manuscript addresses a important area of literature and the authors provide extensive analysis. I recommend the authors review language polishing during revisions, if possible.

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Orthopedics*

Manuscript NO: 76993

Title: Hamstring autograft utilization in reconstructing anterior cruciate ligament: A review of harvesting techniques, graft preparation, and different fixation methods

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05465722

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Academic Research

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Saudi Arabia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-14

Reviewer chosen by: Han Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-27 07:26

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-27 07:30

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

All revision addressed satisfactorily.