

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**Manuscript NO:** 77120

**Title:** Simple cholecystectomy is an adequate treatment for grade I T1bN0M0 gallbladder carcinoma: evidence from 528 patients

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05562744

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** FACS, MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor, Senior Scientist

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Turkey

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-04-21

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-04-21 11:21

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-04-23 02:12

**Review time:** 1 Day and 14 Hours

|                    |   |
|--------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good<br><input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish            |
| Language quality   | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing<br><input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion         | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)<br><input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection             |
| Re-review          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No   |

|                                     |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| <b>Peer-reviewer<br/>statements</b> | Peer-Review: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous<br>Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] No |
|-------------------------------------|---|

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I commend the authors for the well written study. In brief: Of the 528 patients diagnosed with T1bN0M0 GBC, 346 underwent simple cholecystectomy (SC) (65.5%), 131 underwent SC+LN (24.8%), and 51 underwent radical cholecystectomy (RC) (9.7%). Without considering the pathological grade, both OS ( $P<0.001$ ) and DSS ( $P=0.002$ ) of T1b GBC patients who underwent SC alone were significantly lower than that of those who underwent SC+LN or RC. Analysis of T1b GBC according to the pathological classification revealed no significant difference in OS ( $P=0.734$ ) and DSS ( $P=0.953$ ) between different types of procedures in patients with grade I T1b GBC. In patients with grade II T1b GBC, obvious survival improvement was observed in the OS ( $P=0.002$ ) and DSS ( $P=0.039$ ) of those who underwent SC+LN or RC compared to those who received SC. Among patients with grade III, IV T1b GBC, SC+LN or RC benefited OS ( $P=0.005$ ) and DSS ( $P=0.009$ ) far more than SC. The authors have performed a very good job in the conclusion statement of the discussion in integrating the results of the study to daily practice. They suggest frozen section to determine the grade and the stage of the tumor and proceed with the procedure.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**Manuscript NO:** 77120

**Title:** Simple cholecystectomy is an adequate treatment for grade I T1bN0M0 gallbladder carcinoma: evidence from 528 patients

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05400626

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** FACS, MD, MNAMS

**Professional title:** Doctor, Professor, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-04-21

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-05-10 09:08

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-05-23 20:02

**Review time:** 13 Days and 10 Hours

|                    |   |
|--------------------|---|
| Scientific quality | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="radio"/> Grade C: Good<br><input type="radio"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="radio"/> Grade E: Do not publish               |
| Language quality   | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="radio"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing<br><input type="radio"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="radio"/> Grade D: Rejection |
| Conclusion         | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="radio"/> Accept (General priority)<br><input type="radio"/> Minor revision <input type="radio"/> Major revision <input type="radio"/> Rejection                |
| Re-review          | <input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No   |



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

|                                     |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| <b>Peer-reviewer<br/>statements</b> | Peer-Review: [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] Anonymous [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Onymous<br>Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ] Yes [ <input type="checkbox"/> ] No |
|-------------------------------------|---|

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Dear authors, Congratulations on coming up with a well conceived study and a write up. There are a few corrections I have suggested for you to consider. Best wishes