

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 77333

Title: Intravascular lithotripsy for coronary calcium: A case report and review of the

literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03455028 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-14 17:02

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-15 11:37

Review time: 18 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article reviews the application of Shockwave intravascular lithotripsy in coronary artery calcification and lists two clinical examples. It provides a new method for cardiologists and has strong clinical application value.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 77333

Title: Intravascular lithotripsy for coronary calcium: A case report and review of the

literature

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05410379 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-10 13:22

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-16 22:56

Review time: 6 Days and 9 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng **Publishing**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The indications and contraindications of IVL should be further detailed in the manuscript. 2. The fundamental principles and potential mechanisms of IVL also require more explanation. This article can be referred: Kereiakes DJ, Virmani R, Hokama JY, et al. Principles of Intravascular Lithotripsy for Calcific Plaque Modification. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2021;14(12):1275-1292. doi:10.1016/j.jcin.2021.03.036. 3. Compared with the existing technology, such as rotablation, orbital atherectomy, and excimer laser, the advantage of IVL should be further elaborated. 4. References NO.1 to NO.8 should be changed into more innovative and influential literatures. Reference NO.11 should be checked again. 5. It would be more clearly if the authors could label a, b, c, and d in the figures. And please check whether Figure 4 cited in Case 1 is correct or not.