

Journal title: World Journal of Anesthesiology

Manuscript NO: 77549

Title: The anesthesia management of a patient undergoing implantation of a left ventricular assist system: a case report

Authors: shuguang wu and Wei He

Dear Editor,

We would like to thank the *World Journal of Anesthesiology* giving us an opportunity to revise our manuscript. We thank the Editor and Reviewer for their careful reading and thoughtful comments on previous draft. We have carefully taken their comments into consideration in preparing our revision, which has resulted in a paper that is clearer and more compelling.

1. Title ♣ The authors should remove Acronym / Abbreviation from the Title.

Authors' Response to Reviewer2#: We have removed Acronym / Abbreviation from the Title. (Page1Line1)

2. Abstract ♣ The authors should include a clear short summary of an overall idea about the content of the case report.

Authors' Response to Reviewer2#: Thank you for your comments. This is a complex case that requires multidisciplinary cooperation. I would like to focus on the anesthesia section, but the text in the abstract section is limited, so it may make you feel incomplete.

3. Introduction ♣ The authors should concise and attract the attention of reader. ♣ The authors should provide information on why the case is worth publishing. ♣ The authors should provide an explanation of the focus of the case report.

Authors' Response to Reviewer2#: Thanks for your suggestion, and we have revised the introduction. (Page4Line21-25)

4. Case description ♣ The authors should write case description is the focus of the case report. ♣ The authors should the case is best presented in chronological order and in enough detail for the reader to establish his or her own conclusions about the case's validity.

Authors' Response to Reviewer2#: Thank you for your careful review. Although we do not list all the steps in chronological order, we explain the sequence of each operation. We have highlighted the key steps and explained them accordingly. According to your suggestion, we have also made modifications. (Page5-6)

5. Discussion ♣ This is the most vital section of the case report. ♣ The author should summarize and interpret the key findings in contrast to the case report with what is already known in the literature and justify its uniqueness. ♣ During comparing with

prior knowledge, the author should briefly summarize the published literature and show in what aspect the present case differs from those previously published. ♣ Any limitations of the case should be stated.

Authors' Response to Reviewer2#: Thank you for your careful review. According to your suggestion, we have also made some modifications (Page13Line6-13)

6. References ♣ The references listed at the end of the case report should be carefully chosen by virtue of their relevance. ♣ References should provide additional information for readers interested in more detail than can be found in the case report, and they should support any specific points highlighted.

Authors' Response to Reviewer2#: Thank you for your advice. We benefited a lot.

7. Conclusion ♣ The authors should provide valuable sources of unique information for stakeholders. ♣ The authors should include its clinical implications.

Authors' Response to Reviewer2#: Thank you for your advice. We benefited a lot.

Sincerely yours,

authors: Shuguang Wu, E-mail: 2517093@zju.edu.cn

Other co-authors:

Wei He, E-mail: Hzstar3047@126.com