

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Cardiology*

Manuscript NO: 77586

Title: Is Takotsubo cardiomyopathy still looking for its own nosological identity?

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05840233

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-08 08:13

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-09 21:01

Review time: 1 Day and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript is well written. The author is objective, explains his point of view in a well-founded way. Discusses the controversies of the different definitions of TCM. The manuscript is important because it raises doubts about the taxonomy of the syndrome. Minor comments: On lines 123 and 161 TTC must be replaced by TCM.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Cardiology*

Manuscript NO: 77586

Title: Is Takotsubo cardiomyopathy still looking for its own nosological identity?

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05189761

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

Professional title: Research Fellow, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2022-05-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-05-14 23:10

Reviewer performed review: 2022-05-14 23:59

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this letter authors have discussed about the differences between TTC and MINOCA. The manuscript is accurate, precise and has all the necessary details required. I recommend them for the table which simplifies the entire manuscript. I congratulate them for their work. I have no further recommendations for modifications.