

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Nephrology*

**Manuscript NO:** 79266

**Title:** 'Children Kidney Care Centers': Rationale, requirements, & recommendations for best facilities & better future

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 06363811

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Brazil

**Author's Country/Territory:** India

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-08-12

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-09-02 12:21

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-09-12 20:25

**Review time:** 10 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Judgment by peer reviewers	Does this manuscript meet the code of ethics standards? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important novelty? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important creativity or innovation?

	<input type="checkbox"/> [J31] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J30] No Does this manuscript use reliable research methods? <input type="checkbox"/> [J41] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J40] No Are the manuscript-accompanying data and figures authentic? <input type="checkbox"/> [J51] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J50] No Does this manuscript make scientifically significant conclusions? <input type="checkbox"/> [J61] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J60] No
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

5 Methods: it's not clear what is the design the study?   12 Quality of manuscript organization and presentation: Only the Introduction and Conclusion were defined. The others topics are confused.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Nephrology*

**Manuscript NO:** 79266

**Title:** 'Children Kidney Care Centers': Rationale, requirements, & recommendations for best facilities & better future

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05504262

**Position:** Associate Editor

**Academic degree:** DA, DNB, MBBS, MNAMS

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Indonesia

**Author's Country/Territory:** India

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-08-12

**Reviewer chosen by:** Dong-Mei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-10-01 14:03

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-10-01 14:05

**Review time:** 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Judgment by peer reviewers	Does this manuscript meet the code of ethics standards? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important novelty? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important creativity or innovation?

	<input type="checkbox"/> [J31] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J30] No Does this manuscript use reliable research methods? <input type="checkbox"/> [J41] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J40] No Are the manuscript-accompanying data and figures authentic? <input type="checkbox"/> [J51] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J50] No Does this manuscript make scientifically significant conclusions? <input type="checkbox"/> [J61] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J60] No
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very well written and all key points are highlighted and discussed in detail.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Nephrology*

**Manuscript NO:** 79266

**Title:** 'Children Kidney Care Centers': Rationale, requirements, & recommendations for best facilities & better future

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 06205768

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** BCPS, MSc

**Professional title:** Chief Pharmacist

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Saudi Arabia

**Author's Country/Territory:** India

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-08-12

**Reviewer chosen by:** Dong-Mei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-10-01 05:52

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-10-05 18:24

**Review time:** 4 Days and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Judgment by peer reviewers	Does this manuscript meet the code of ethics standards? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important novelty? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important creativity or innovation?

	<input type="checkbox"/> [J31] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J30] No Does this manuscript use reliable research methods? <input type="checkbox"/> [J41] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J40] No Are the manuscript-accompanying data and figures authentic? <input type="checkbox"/> [J51] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J50] No Does this manuscript make scientifically significant conclusions? <input type="checkbox"/> [J61] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J60] No
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for interesting comprehensive topic kindly see my comments as below :

page 4 line 20 , U5MR what is the definition ? when I returned to reference 6, the word other causes may be misunderstood in the manuscript as it is only nephrology but in the reference. 'Other conditions among children aged 1-59 months included causes originated during the perinatal period, cancer, severe malnutrition, and other specified causes. Intrapartum-related events were formerly referred to as "birth asphyxia". ' If possible, the need to use the abbreviation to decrease wording for ESRD, for example, in page 6, lines 6 and 10. Page 7, line 5 ..... For the specialised investigation, it is good to divide it into Diagnostic test, diagnostic imaging, nuclear medicine, endoscopy .... as clear titles of subcategories . Page 10, there is a need to clarify the abbreviation in the subtitles ex CT for computed tomography. In the specific treatment, there is a need to



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

discuss the role of multidisciplinary pharmacy and their role in the availability of drugs and doses .nutritionist in CKD for restriction of protein and special diet for renal pt . ....  
Is PD applicable to (6 - 13) years old age?

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Nephrology*

**Manuscript NO:** 79266

**Title:** 'Children Kidney Care Centers': Rationale, requirements, & recommendations for best facilities & better future

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05339586

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Egypt

**Author's Country/Territory:** India

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-08-12

**Reviewer chosen by:** Dong-Mei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-09-28 02:01

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-10-08 05:05

**Review time:** 10 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Judgment by peer reviewers	Does this manuscript meet the code of ethics standards? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important novelty? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Does this manuscript have important creativity or innovation?



	<input type="checkbox"/> [J31] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J30] No Does this manuscript use reliable research methods? <input type="checkbox"/> [J41] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J40] No Are the manuscript-accompanying data and figures authentic? <input type="checkbox"/> [J51] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J50] No Does this manuscript make scientifically significant conclusions? <input type="checkbox"/> [J61] Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [J60] No
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> [Y] Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The idea of the article is interesting, but the organization and contents are not consistent with the hypothesis and title of this article. Hence there are many concerns should be raised: 1) The title is not suitable to the presented contents. 2) The contents are made to discuss the etiology, diagnosis, and management of the chronic kidney disease in a classic way, but not the health care system or the quality of the services delivered to pediatric patients. 3) It is not clear whether this article targeted a local versus an international health care system of pediatric chronic diseases. at many countries, these mentioned services are provided perfectly for those patients. 4) The organization of the text, including the hierarchical flow of headings, is confusing and not serial. The smooth transfer of the idea between the different parts of the article is not consistent. 5) Writing mishaps: There are many language and writing errors that warrant a comprehensive

revision. For example, the excessive use of the symbol (&) is not acceptable, where the suitable link tool should be used (and). Also, the use of the terms chronic kidney disease (CKD) and chronic disease interchangeably is confusing.