

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 79894

Title: Diagnosis, severity stratification and management of adult acute pancreatitis -

current evidence and controversies

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05655782 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Singapore

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-12 01:46

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-16 11:32

**Review time:** 4 Days and 9 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ Y] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article comprehensively reviews the development, diagnosis, treatment, confusion, and problems to be solved of pancreatitis. It is a good review and is recommended for publication.



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 79894

Title: Diagnosis, severity stratification and management of adult acute pancreatitis -

current evidence and controversies

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03307766 Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Director, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Kazakhstan

**Author's Country/Territory:** Singapore

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-11 12:23

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-19 13:31

**Review time:** 8 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes [ ]No



# **Baishideng** Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer statements

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

- This editorial is well-written, overall. It provides a nice overview of the AP. However, I would suggest spending some more words on chronic forms, since recurrent AP can overlap and/or cause chronic pancreatitis. In general, some points should be completed. - Indeed, the authors themselves mention aspects/markers that may be more justifies in chronic forms, such as IgG4 screening (see: "In patients with no obvious etiology, a

clinician must perform extended investigations before resorting to a diagnosis of idiopathic pancreatitis. These extended investigations include a repeat abdominal ultrasound scan, magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) scan [2], endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) scan, autoimmune markers like serum IgG4 [7], viral markers like COVID-19 and genetic tests [10].). In this regard (IgG4 and, more in general, autoimmune pancreatitis), this recent review can be helpful to complete this discussion (World J Gastroenterol. 2021 Jul 7;27(25):3825-3836. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v27.i25.3825). - "In contrary, genetic testing involves assays for gene mutations such as mutations in the PRSS1 or CTFR gene." This sentence should be supported by references (e.g. Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am. 2022 Jan;32(1):27-43. doi: 10.1016/j.giec.2021.08.006). the authors should also discuss the specific management of AP in the setting of chronic/recurrent pancreatitis, if any. - Moreover, the manuscript completely disregards the pediatric population: even if much more rarely, children can be affected by AP, both as an isolated episode and in the context of chronic recurrent forms (e.g.

4

Front Pediatr. 2022 Aug 25;10:931336. doi: 10.3389/fped.2022.931336) and some

concomitant episodes have also been observed during COVID-19 (Paediatr Child Health

(Oxford). 2021 Dec;31(12):423-427. doi: 10.1016/j.paed.2021.09.001)



## RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 79894

Title: Diagnosis, severity stratification and management of adult acute pancreatitis -

current evidence and controversies

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03307766 **Position:** Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Director, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Kazakhstan

**Author's Country/Territory:** Singapore

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-11

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-09 16:50

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-09 18:03

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [ Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have no additional major comments.