

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 80189

Title: Unusual breast metastasis of gastrointestinal stromal tumor: case report and

literature review.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06392164 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Tanzania

Author's Country/Territory: Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-28 15:20

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-28 16:03

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. CLINICAL CASE: a) Consider remodeling your "History of presenting illness, medical history, Family and Social history, Clinical presentation, assessment, and provisional diagnosis" more clearly prior to elucidating the investigations, and with a nice flow of serial events throughout the course of patient's illness from early onset to late. b) Consider stipulating the ethnicity and concurring risk factors for rectal tumors associating with the patient. A Smoker? Immunosuppressed? etc. 2. FIGURES: Consider combining the images; A and B should be Combined, C and D should be combined, E and F should be combined, G,H and I should be combined. 3. CONCLUSION: Should elaborate the findings and justify a diverse knowledge gap/problem as well as stipulating the importance and/or consequence of knowing and/or not knowing the "Knowledge gap" for the readers to clearly grasp the concept. At least 1-2 paragraphs suggested. 4. GENERAL: Grammar emphasis and improvement is essential. Paraphrase the manuscript and have an appealing presentation. Overall, I concur with the uniqueness of the Case report. Good work!



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 80189

Title: Unusual breast metastasis of gastrointestinal stromal tumor: case report and

literature review.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05842368
Position: Peer Reviewer
Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-21

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-06 06:00

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-06 11:07

Review time: 5 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I reviewed with interest the study by Daria et al. It was properly written and described a rare clinical case of GIST presented with breast metastasis. Here are several problems and suggestions: 1. what's the pathological diagnosis of the primary rectum tumor in 2012, and what is the postoperative treatment? 2. Have you performed sequencing for rectum GIST lesion in 2016? is it the same with what observed in the breast? 3. When describing the history of disease, it better should follow the time sequence, so the radiology finding had better be integrated into its disease development. 4. Do you think some clinical symptoms together with specific laboratory examinations should be added in the disease history? 4. About the figure1C and D, arrows or other indications should be used in the pictures to mark the characteristics mentioned in the figure legend. 5. You mentioned strong cytoplasmic expression of CD34, but didn't display with illustration.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 80189

Title: Unusual breast metastasis of gastrointestinal stromal tumor: case report and

literature review.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06266268 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Chief Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-21

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-05 10:17

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-10 08:03

Review time: 4 Days and 21 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) are rare tumors, and breast metastases from GIST have been previously described only in one case. The author reported a second case. This case report has novelty and clinical guidance value. The overall structure is concise, easy to understand and clearly communicated. The title is concise and contains sufficient case information. The introduction is relatively brief, giving a centralized explanation on the importance of the case report. The detailed description of the case is sufficient, and the readers understand the treatment and reasons. However, the discussion only focused on the use of imatinib, lacking consideration and analysis of overall details. Some data tables are needed to enhance the value of case reports.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 80189

Title: Unusual breast metastasis of gastrointestinal stromal tumor: case report and

literature review.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05372567 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-21

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-02 11:37

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-11 03:46

Review time: 8 Days and 16 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It is a well-written manuscript in which the authors reported a rare case of GIST metastasis to breast. As a case report, the manuscript could be accepted. One minor suggestion is to make language revisions.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 80189

Title: Unusual breast metastasis of gastrointestinal stromal tumor: case report and

literature review.

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05842368 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Russia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-21

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-28 06:44

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-28 07:01

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Good job!