PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Virology*

Manuscript NO: 80346

Title: Commentary on COVID-19- induced liver injury in various age and risk groups

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05824612

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD, PsyD

Professional title: Reader in Health Technology Assessment, Research Assistant, Research Assistant Professor, Research Associate, Research Fellow, Research Scientist, Researcher, Science Editor, Statistician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-14 08:22

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-14 08:40

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Judgment by peer reviewers	Does this manuscript meet the code of ethics standards? [J11] Yes [J10] No Does this manuscript have important novelty? [J21] Yes [J20] No

	Does this manuscript have important creativity or innovation? [J31] Yes [J30] No Does this manuscript use reliable research methods? [J41] Yes [J40] No Are the manuscript-accompanying data and figures authentic? [J51] Yes [J50] No Does this manuscript make scientifically significant conclusions? [J61] Yes [J60] No
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The current article does not have enough innovation, and in my opinion, it cannot be accepted.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Virology*

Manuscript NO: 80346

Title: Commentary on COVID-19- induced liver injury in various age and risk groups

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06406538

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Russia

Author's Country/Territory: Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2022-09-25

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-25 12:34

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-26 06:30

Review time: 17 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Judgment by peer reviewers	Does this manuscript meet the code of ethics standards? [J11] Yes [J10] No Does this manuscript have important novelty? [J21] Yes [J20] No Does this manuscript have important creativity or innovation? [J31] Yes [J30] No Does this manuscript use reliable research methods?

	[J41] Yes [J40] No
	Are the manuscript-accompanying data and figures authentic?
	[J51] Yes [J50] No
	Does this manuscript make scientifically significant conclusions?
	[J61] Yes [J60] No
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing
	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)
	[Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [ ] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [ ] Anonymous [Y] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear author! In my opinion, your article should either include a discussion section or specify in more detail in the conclusion section your position on your review. In the table presented, it is probably necessary to add another column showing the outcomes (confirmed morphological changes in the liver tissue) found in each case you describe (viral circulation, hypoxia, drug exposure …). So your material will be more clearly presented to the reader.   Also describing the results of comparative studies (literature data 34-36) could you provide, in addition to percentage data, the results of the statistical significance of these findings, presented by the authors in the sources. So this data will be more revealing and understandable.   With deep respect, prof. Sergey Alexander Gulyaev

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing
	[ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority)
	[ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The requested corrections have been made. The article is acceptable.   Good luck