

Dear Editor,

We would firstly like to thank the reviewers and editors for considering and donating time and efforts to our manuscript entitled “The role of MDCT angiography in non-variceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding: a comprehensive review” (Manuscript NO: 80403).

Our point-by-point responses to each of the issues raised in the peer-review report are presented below.

English language of the revised manuscript has been polished and a new language editing certificate has been provided.

We hope that the revisions in the manuscript and our accompanying responses will be sufficient to make our manuscript suitable for publication in the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy.

We shall look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

Best regards,

Alberto Martino, MD

Gastroenterology and Digestive Endoscopy

AORN “A. Cardarelli”, Napoli, Italy

**To Reviewer #1:**

1. Regarding the aim of the study, there is some confusion between management (which means both diagnosis and treatment) and diagnosis (which is diagnosis only). In your aim, you mentioned: The aim of our study was to extensively review the current evidence with regard to the role of MDCT in the management of acute NVUGIB. It is not management, it should be diagnosis only. So, his needs to be corrected in many parts which mentioned management.

**Response: We are very thankful for your comment. According to your comment, the term “management” has been modified with “diagnosis”.**

2. It is better if your article includes one or more images to how the value of diagnostic role of MDCT in localisation of rare causes of NVUGIB.

**Response: We are very thankful for your comment. Figure 1, 2 and 3 have been added.**

**To Reviewer #2:**

**We are grateful for your comment.**

**To Science editor:**

Many thanks for considering our manuscript.

**To Company editor-in-chief:**

Many thanks for considering our manuscript.

1. Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the Reference Citation Analysis (RCA). RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision.

Done. Two further references have been added (n. 11 and n. 16).