

Answers to reviewers' query/suggestions:

Comments	Responses
<p>Reviewer #1:</p> <p>Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)</p> <p>Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)</p> <p>Conclusion: Minor revision</p> <p>Specific Comments to Authors: As Authors emphasize on possible new vector niche, similar studies like An Integrated Approach Using Spatial Analysis to Study the Risk Factors for Leishmaniasis in Area of Recent Transmission etc should be cited and discussed to support their hypothesis</p>	<p>Thanks for insightful review.</p> <p>It's added.</p>
<p>Reviewer #2:</p> <p>Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)</p> <p>Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)</p> <p>Conclusion: Major revision</p> <p>Specific Comments to Authors: This is a potentially interesting and relatively well written review on VL. The only major concern I have is that the authors should be more specific about their search process. See for example https://royalsocietypublishing.org/doi/full/10.1098/rsif.2016.0820 Section 2 and 3.1-3.2 including PRISMA diagram. The aim is to make sure that the search is properly described and replicable.</p>	<p>Thanks for adding PRISMA diagram to make review more scientific. Its added.</p>