

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 80626

Title: Hepatobiliary manifestations following two-stages elective laparoscopic restorative proctocolectomy for patients with ulcerative colitis: A prospective observational study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06087956

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MS

Professional title: Associate Professor, Chairman, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Afghanistan

Author's Country/Territory: Egypt

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-07

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-12 11:14

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-15 13:25

Review time: 3 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Author; Congratulations and thanks for submitting the above-mentioned interesting article (observational study) for publication to the World Journal of Clinical Cases. I appreciate you and hope your case to be published. Comments: 1.The case is interested and well described. 2. You are better to describe both (surgical and non-surgical treatments) for the disease (not obligation). 3.If possible, the abstract could be expanded to meet the criteria for abstract mentioned in checklist. 4.The case needs minor language polishing. I hope your case to be published.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

Manuscript NO: 80626

Title: Hepatobiliary manifestations following two-stages elective laparoscopic restorative proctocolectomy for patients with ulcerative colitis: A prospective observational study

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05260389

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: Egypt

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-07

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-12 13:44

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-16 22:04

Review time: 4 Days and 8 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection

Re-review	[<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input type="checkbox"/>] No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is relevant and well-conducted research. However, I have some questions. 1- How long before and after surgery were laboratory tests collected for comparison in Table 2? 2- What is the evaluation interval for comparing symptoms and liver evaluation between preoperative, surgery and postoperative examinations? I think it's beneficial to expose them if you have this records. 3- There are some typos in table 1. Just check. It was a pleasure to read your work, congratulations on the choice of theme.