

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Otorhinolaryngology*

Manuscript NO: 80804

Title: Transoral robotic surgery for adult parapharyngeal lymphangioma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02505244

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-13

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-13 10:07

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-14 11:58

Review time: 1 Day and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Very interesting case report as well as well presented, however the authors should underline the fundamental role of tomographic diagnostic imaging techniques in such tumor lesions both in the introduction and discussion sections; for this purpose, the authors should cite the following papers: 1. Romeo V, Maurea S, Guarino S, Sirignano C, Mainenti PP, Picardi M, Salvatore M. A case of lower-neck cystic lymphangioma: correlative US, CT and MR imaging findings. *Quant Imaging Med Surg*. 2013 Aug;3(4):224-7. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2223-4292.2013.08.03. PMID: 24040619; PMCID: PMC3759137. 2. Romeo V, Maurea S, Mainenti PP, Camera L, Aprea G, Cozzolino I, Salvatore M. Correlative imaging of cystic lymphangiomas: ultrasound, CT and MRI comparison. *Acta Radiol Open*. 2015 May 18;4(5):2047981614564911. doi: 10.1177/2047981614564911. PMID: 26019889; PMCID: PMC4437906.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Otorhinolaryngology*

Manuscript NO: 80804

Title: Transoral robotic surgery for adult parapharyngeal lymphangioma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05382254

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Associate Chief Physician, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-13

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-24 22:36

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-29 03:05

Review time: 4 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The application of robotic surgery for lymphangioma in the parapharyngeal space is rarely reported, so this case reported by the author(s) is novel. However, the manuscript has many academic deficiencies and needs further revision. 1. Although the data on robotic resection of parapharyngeal space lymphangioma are limited, this case is not the first case in the world. Similar lymphangioma in the parapharyngeal space[1] and robotic surgery for tumors in the parapharyngeal space[2,3] have been previously reported. Therefore, the author(s) should focus on the following aspects (placed in the Discussion Section) : a) Compared with traditional surgery, what are the indications supporting the use of robotic surgery in this case? b) This case achieved good results after the operation without serious complications. What is the main successful experience? The author(s) should have in-depth discussion on preoperative evaluation, intraoperative operation, postoperative care or other aspects, and offer ideas and tips that you think you can share with readers or professionals. 2. Differential diagnosis should be made in preoperative diagnosis, especially in the differentiation from schwannoma and lipoma. 3. The introduction and description of lymphangioma in the Introduction and Discussion Section are too lengthy, so it is suggested to simplify. 4. Finally talk about the manuscript format: a) About the manuscript format, please refer to <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>; in the CASE PRESENTATION Section, it should be written in the following order: Chief complaints, History of present illness, Personal and family history, Physical examination, Laboratory examinations, Imaging examinations, Final diagnosis, Treatment, Outcome and follow up. b) About the Figures. If a figure consists of several small images, each image should be marked with an English capital letter (A, B, C...) at the top left, and indicate copyright (see page 14 of the



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Format for Manuscript Submission: Case Report). c) All references (if any) should give the PMID and DOI number. d) English acronyms should be explained when they first appear. REFERENCES 1 Balineni P, C H VPK, Reddy E D, Reddy JS. Extensive parapharyngeal lymphangioma in a adult-Case report and review of literature, Int J Surg Case Rep. 2020-01-01;75:85-88. [PMID: 32919336 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijscr.2020.08.051] 2 Fleet M, Healey S, Korampalli S, Moor JW. Management of parapharyngeal space cavernous sinus haemangioma using transoral robotic surgery,ANN ROY COLL SURG. 2022-01-01;104(1):e1-e3.[PMID: 34448405 DOI: 0.1308/rcsann.2021.0059] 3 Cadena-Piñeros E, Moreno-Torres A, Correa-Marin J, Melo-Urbe MA. Transoral robotic surgery for parapharyngeal carcinoma ex-pleomorphic adenoma: A case report, Cancer Rep (Hoboken). 2022-11-01;5(11):e1692. [PMID: 35945155 DOI: 10.1002/cnr2.1692]

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Otorhinolaryngology*

Manuscript NO: 80804

Title: Transoral robotic surgery for adult parapharyngeal lymphangioma: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05382254

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Associate Chief Physician, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: India

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-13

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-20 02:21

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-20 03:04

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Grade A: Excellent [<input type="radio"/>] Grade B: Very good [<input type="radio"/>] Grade C: Good [<input type="radio"/>] Grade D: Fair [<input type="radio"/>] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Grade A: Priority publishing [<input type="radio"/>] Grade B: Minor language polishing [<input type="radio"/>] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [<input type="radio"/>] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Accept (High priority) [<input type="radio"/>] Accept (General priority) [<input type="radio"/>] Minor revision [<input type="radio"/>] Major revision [<input type="radio"/>] Rejection
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The revised manuscript is clear and well-structured, with concise and fluent language. The discussion section is focused and well-layered. The pictures are clear and standardized, and the references cited are reasonable and standardized. I think it meets the requirements for acceptance.