

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 80935

Title: Main factors influencing long-term outcomes of liver transplantation in 2022

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05098925 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor, Lecturer, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Thailand

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-18 15:22

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-29 04:44

Review time: 10 Days and 13 Hours

Scientific quality	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for opportunity for reviewing this excellent manuscript. Overall, author did this manuscript well. However, there are some point to be comment. 1. In my opinion, the title does not correspond to the content of manuscript. 2. In section "Renal Dysfunction", it would be more impressive if author mention about data of post LT outcome of hepato-renal syndrome. 3. In section "Hepatocellular carcinoma", author provide the information of strategies for preventing HCC recurrence but did not mentioned about the suitable strategies in HCC surveillance adequately. It would be more impressive if author mention this aspect more intensively.



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 80935

Title: Main factors influencing long-term outcomes of liver transplantation in 2022

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05820349 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Canada

Author's Country/Territory: Italy

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-18

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-07 02:05

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-07 02:38

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting and detailed manuscript on the factors related to poor outcomes after liver transplantation. Authors did a good job in explaining the causative relatonships between these factors and OLT outcomes accross various populations and contexts. My main concern relates to the lack of information on methodology. The authors did not explain how was the information selected and evaluated before being included in this narrative review. How did they ensure that the information was complete? What databases were used and how was the information selected?