

Response to the Reviewer's Comments

Dear Editor,

We would like to thank the Reviewers for taking the time and effort necessary to review the manuscript. We sincerely appreciate all valuable comments and suggestions, which helped us to improve the quality of the manuscript. Here is a point-by-point response to the reviewers' comments and concerns.

Reviewer #1:

Reviewer #1:

Specific Comments to Authors: In this article, the authors reported two Chinese HIV-HBV co-infected patients with end-stage liver disease who underwent A to O brain dead donor liver transplantation and reviewed the literature on HIV-HBV co-infected patients treated with ABO-compatible liver transplantation. Their results showed that patients showed undetectable HIV viral load, CD4(+) T cell counts greater than 200 cells/ μ L, no HBV recurrence, and stable liver function after intermediate-term follow-up and both patients survived at 36-42 months of follow-up. Several suggestions: 1. In the [core tip], [We reported two Chinese HIV-HBV coinfectd patients]. However, no HBV information is mentioned in patient [case 2]. 2. Please check the typo-errors in the entire manuscript, such as the space between words, e.g. [agood].

Response: Thank you for your comments and suggestions that allowed us to greatly improve the quality of the manuscript. We carefully reviewed the original case data and confirmed that the patient 2 was an HIV-HBV coinfectd patient, and we have made corresponding modifications in the first paragraph of CASE 2 part. In addition, we carefully corrected the entire manuscript and corrected some typo-errors one by one. Thank you.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: accept

Response: Thank you for your affirmation of our work, we will continue to work hard.