



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Methodology*

Manuscript NO: 81088

Title: Urinary Tract Injury During Hysterectomy: Does Surgeon Specialty and Surgical Volume Matter?

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06201109

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-30

Reviewer chosen by: Dong-Mei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-04 05:47

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-08 17:30

Review time: 4 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Reviewer Comments: I was pleased to review the article - Urinary Tract Injury During Hysterectomy: Does Surgeon Specialty and Surgical Volume Matter? The methodology used by the authors is appropriate for the purpose of the study and conclusions are narrowly linked to available evidence. The title expresses clearly the content of the manuscript and highlights the importance of the study In general, the manuscript may benefit from some revisions, as suggested below: -It doesn't seem representative to me that surgeon subspecialty should be a Keywords - the ABSTRACT section is very laborious, I recommend that section Background should be shorter - the INTRODUCTION section is very laborious, here are a lot of details and references which would find its place, better, in the discussion section -I suggest a revision of the References, because references should not include the month in which an article was published The results are presented clearly and accurately and are consistent with the aim of the work and the methods. All the relevant data have been included in the article. The data described in the text are consistent with the data in the tables. The limitations of the study also have been described very well, there were a lot of surgeons (42) of



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

which only one urogynecologist. Maybe it would be interesting to add/discuss how the urologic injury were diagnosed and how they manifested (time since surgery, clinical manifestations...) This publication impact basic science and/or clinical practice