

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics*

Manuscript NO: 81335

Title: Commentary: "Timing of percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tube placement in post-stroke patients does not impact mortality, complications, or outcomes"

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06087956

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MS

Professional title: Associate Professor, Chairman, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Afghanistan

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-05 07:26

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-08 12:14

Review time: 3 Days and 4 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Author; Congratulations and thanks for submitting the above-mentioned article (Commentary) for publication to the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics. I appreciate you and hope your case to be published. Comments: 1. The case is interested, but requires understandable changes. 2. Actually, commentary has heading and subheadings; title, keywords, background, main text, conclusion, and declaration with its subheadings. 3. The manuscript needs rearrangement with all above mentioned heading and subheadings. 4. The author is better to expand the text by reviewing more literatures and make the manuscript more readable for reader. 5. Reduce the number of references to 10. 6. All the above-mentioned changes should be brought, but make sure you had been referred to the journal author guidelines (it is better to follow the journal policy for commentary paper). 7. The case needs minor language polishing. I hope you address the above-mentioned issues and your case to be published.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics*

Manuscript NO: 81335

Title: Commentary: "Timing of percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tube placement in post-stroke patients does not impact mortality, complications, or outcomes"

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05212434

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Poland

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-04

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-07 09:01

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-18 10:22

Review time: 11 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I recommend to accept the manuscript after minor revision. There are only some points to correct:

- please provide the list of abbreviations
- please provide the number of ethical approval
- - introduction and discussion section need improvement; please provide information on how your results will translate into clinical practice; doi 10.1039/d0fo01878c ; <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9020469> ; 10.1038/s41598-022-16053-1
- in discussion section please provide study strong points and study limitation section - please correct typos

All abovementioned issues are crucial for the credibility of the results. The paper can be accepted only after addressing all the issues and another subsequent review. I recommend to accept the manuscript after minor revision.