

Reviewer #1:

Specific Comments to Authors: I recommend to accept the manuscript after minor revision. There are only some points to correct: - please provide the list of abbreviations - please provide the number of ethical approval • - introduction and discussion section need improvement; please provide information on how your results will translate into clinical practice; doi 10.1039/d0fo01878c ; <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm9020469> ; 10.1038/s41598-022-16053-1 - in discussion section please provide study strong points and study limitation section - please correct typos All abovementioned issues are crucial for the credibility of the results. The paper can be accepted only after addressing all the issues and another subsequent review. I recommend to accept the manuscript after minor revision.

Response:

Thank you for your input! We provided a list of abbreviations in the revision. We expanded the introduction and discussion sections. We addressed limitations of the study and highlighted the study strong points. We also corrected typos. Again, thank you for your time and consideration.

Reviewer #2:

Specific Comments to Authors: Dear Author; Congratulations and thanks for submitting the above-mentioned article (Commentary) for publication to the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pharmacology and Therapeutics. I appreciate you and hope your case to be published. Comments: 1. The case is interested, but requires understandable changes. 2. Actually, commentary has heading and subheadings; title, keywords, background, main text, conclusion, and declaration with its subheadings. 3. The manuscript needs rearrangement with all above mentioned heading and subheadings. 4. The author is better to expand the text by reviewing more literatures and make the manuscript more readable for reader. 5. Reduce the number of references to 10. 6. All the above-mentioned changes should be brought, but make sure you had been referred to the journal author guidelines (it is better to follow the journal policy for commentary paper). 7. The case needs minor language polishing. I hope you address the above-mentioned issues and your case to be published.

Response:

Your detailed input was very helpful. Thank you!

2-3,6) We added a title, keywords, background/introduction, main text with subheadings, conclusion, and a key points section.

4-5) We added more content and reduced the number of citations to the limit of 10.

7) We resolved typos and clarified confusing sentences. Again, thank you for your input!