Dear Reviewers/ Editors,

Thank you for the smooth review process. We have addressed all the comments. The changes are done in TRACK MODE for ease of understanding.

Response to Reviewer#1

1. Congratulations for your very comprehensive manuscript. I would like to point out that the biomarkers you presented are used in the primary diagnosis of PanCa or in the monitoring o treatment. Are there biomarkers available to diagnose the recurrenece of PanCa? If yes, please supplement

Ans: Thank you for your generous comments. These biomarkers are not addressing the recurrence of PanCa. They are more specific to early stage diagnosis and metastasized disease.

Response to Reviewer#2

2. We congratulate the authors for giving us an insight into the current development in tools and recent developments of liquid biopsy analysis in pancreatic cancer early diagnostic and prognostication. In your review, you describe all conditions underlying early diagnostic and prognostication for pancreatic cancer, such as DNA methylation signatures and mutations, cfDNA molecules, and secretome biomarkers. Your reference documentation is very comprehensive and the review concludes almost all conditions that may have something to do with noninvasive liquid biopsy. I can imagine how much work you have done for this professional review. Some flaw in this otherwise perfect writing is that there are some sloppy grammatical issues and the article is too long.I recommend that you give a final polish to your writing to keep your message as concise as possible.

Ans:Thank you for your generous comments. The authors have duly addressed all the comments as per your suggestions. We have edited the grammatical issues. The final polishing to the write-up has been done to the best of our knowledge.

3. Response to Science editor:

Ans: Thank you for your generous comments.We have edited the grammatical issues to the best of our knowledge

4. Response to Company editor-in-chief:

Ans: Thank you for your response. We have restructured the tables.