

CHECKLIST OF RESPONSIBILITIES FOR SCIENTIFIC EDITORS

Manuscript page number (Without Figures): 31 Rate: 6.2 CNY per page

Editing Fee: ______ 192.2 CNY

Figure count: ______ Figure handling time: ______ Min Rate: 1 CNY per min

Editing Fee: 0 CNY

XML and PDF converting time: 60 min Rate: 1 CNY per min

Editing Fee: <u>60</u> CNY

Manuscript word count: 6359

Total Editing Fee: 252.2 CNY

Scientific Editor: Geng-Long Liu

Date of signature: _____03/14/2023 ____(month/day/year)

		Comments
Item No.	Specific items for verification	Yes=[Y]
		No=[N]
	General Information of the Manuscript	
	Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal	
	Pathophysiology	
	Manuscript NO.: 82233	
	Column: Case Control Study	
1	Title: Polymorphism of genes encoding drug-metabolizing and	[Y]
	inflammation-related enzymes for susceptibility to	
	cholangiocarcinoma in Thailand	
	Authors: Gyokukou You, Lu Zeng, Hideaki Tanaka, Emi Ohta,	
	Takahiro Fujii, Kazuhiko Ohshima, Masakazu Tanaka, Nobuyuki	
	Hamajima, Chutiwan Viwatthanasittiphong, Mantana Muangphot,	



	Dhimmhal Chamuidhua A Iadmiusuan an Danshah Cuine Maran	
	Dhiraphol Chenvidhya, A Jedpiyawongse, Banchob Sripa, Masanao	
	Miwa and Satoshi Honjo	
	Reviewer code: 05453202, and 06473485	
	First decision: 2023-02-08 07:18	
	Editorial Office's Comments	
	Science Editor: Remind Revision at 2023-02-16 08:18	
	Company Editor-in-Chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review	
	Report, full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics	
	documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements	
	of the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology, and the	
	manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to	
	the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report,	
	Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript	
	Revision by Authors. Authors are required to provide standard	
2	three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column	[Y]
	line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents	
	of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications,	
	and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned.	
	Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical	
	lines and do not segment cell content. Before final acceptance,	
	when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and	
	improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results,	
	thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this	
	end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA. RCA is an	
	artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary	



	1	
	citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from	
	the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article"	
	under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight	
	articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under	
	preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database	
	for more information at:	
	https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/.	
3	The fixed headings are copied.	[Y]
	The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is	
	not too long (no more than 18 words). Words such as 'exploration',	
4	'research', 'analysis', 'observation', and 'investigation' are avoided.	[Y]
	The title does not start with 'The' and does not include any Arabic	
	numbers or uncommon abbreviations.	
5	A short running title is provided (no more than 6 words).	[Y]
	The authors' full family (sur)names and full/abbreviated first	
6	names are listed on the title page and are consistent with those	[Y]
	listed in the signed BPG Copyright License Agreement form.	
	The 'Author contributions' passage describes the specific	
	contribution(s) made by each author. The author's names are listed	
	in the following format: full family (sur)name followed by	
	abbreviated first and middles names.	
	<i>e.g.,</i> "Wang CL and Liang L contributed equally to this work; Wang	
7	CL, Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed the	[Y]
	research study; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM performed	
	the research; Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new reagents and	
	analytic tools; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed the data; and	
	Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF wrote the manuscript. All authors	
	have read and approve the final manuscript."	



8	The 'Supported by' statement describes the source(s) of financial	
	support and includes the corresponding identification number(s)	[Y]
	and program ID(s) if available, and contains no spelling errors.	
9	The 'Corresponding author' passage provides the corresponding	
	author's full first and family (sur)names, abbreviated title (e.g., MD,	
	PhD), affiliated institute's name and complete postal address	[Y]
	(including zip code) and e-mail (written in all lowercase), and	
	contains no spelling errors.	
	The Manuscript Tracking information (i.e., Received, Peer review	
10	started, First decision, Revised, Accepted, Article in press, and	D.d.
10	Published online) are provided along with the corresponding editor	[Y]
	and date for each item, and contain no spelling errors.	
	The Abstract section is formatted according to the article-specific	
	style (structured vs unstructured) and word count thresholds, as	
	follows:	
	Commentary, Frontier, Diagnostic Advances, Medical Ethics,	
	Minireview, Review, Therapeutics Advances, and Topic Highlight:	
44	Non-structured abstract that is no less than 200 words.	
11	Field of Vision, Case Report and Letter to the Editor:	[Y]
	Non-structured abstract that is no less than 150 words.	
	Research articles: Structured abstract with subsections for AIM (no	
	more than 20 words); METHODS (no less than 80 words); RESULTS	
	(no less than 120 words); and CONCLUSION (no more than 26	
	words).	
	The 'Key words' list provides 5-10 keywords that reflect the main	
12	content of the study. The first letter of each keyword is capitalized,	[Y]
	and each keyword is separated by a semicolon.	
	The "citation" contains authors' names and manuscript title. The	
13	name of the first author should be typed in bold letters; the family	[Y]
	(sur) name of all authors should be typed with the first letter	



14capitalized, followed by their abbreviated first and middle initials. For example, an article by Jae Moon Yoon, Ki Young Son, Chun Sick Eom, Daniel Durrance, Sang Min Park will be written as Yoon JM, Son KY, Eom CS, Durrance D, Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. World J Gastroenterol 2019; In press14The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract readers.
Eom, Daniel Durrance, Sang Min Park will be written as Yoon JM, Son KY, Eom CS, Durrance D, Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. World J Gastroenterol 2019; In press14The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract
Son KY, Eom CS, Durrance D, Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. World J Gastroenterol 2019; In press14The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract
mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. World J Gastroenterol 2019; In pressGastroenterol 2019; In pressThe 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract
Gastroenterol 2019; In pressThe 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract
14The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract
14study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract[Y]
14 [Y] and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract
and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract
readers.
The 'INTRODUCTION' section clearly describes the relevant
background information for the study. Only the most relevant and
current (within the past 5 years) literature is cited, with the
exception of rare instances of seminal literature citations. All
technical terms and/or abbreviations are explained and/or defined,
with the full name of abbreviations given upon first appearance in
15 [Y] the text and the abbreviation presented in parentheses [<i>i.e.</i> ,
"computed tomography (CT)"]. First-person pronouns (<i>e.g.</i> , 'I',
'we') are used appropriately to clearly indicate the work performed
by the author(s). When weaknesses of previous studies are
described in the text to highlight the innovations related to the
current study, the information is presented carefully.
The 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' section clearly and accurately
16 describes all materials and methods used to obtain the data [Y]
presented in the article and is adequate for a reader to repeat the
study.



	The 'RESULTS' section concisely describes the observational and	
17	experimental results. Representative data and data that have	
	scientific significance are emphasized. Data is presented in either	
	the text, a table or figure (<i>i.e.</i> , chart, diagram, graph or image), but is	[Y]
	not repeated among each. Information presented in the tables and	
	figures clearly describes the trends, meaning, and inferences.	
	Results described in textual form are accurate, concise and clear.	
	Statistical symbols are accurate. Statistical significance is expressed	
	as ${}^{a}P < 0.05$, ${}^{b}P < 0.01$ ($P > 0.05$ usually does not need to be denoted).	
18	If there are other series of <i>P</i> values, ${}^{c}P < 0.05$ and ${}^{d}P < 0.01$ are used,	[Y]
	and a third series of <i>P</i> values is expressed as ${}^{e}P < 0.05$ and ${}^{f}P < 0.01$.	
	Statistical data is expressed as mean \pm SD or mean \pm SE.	
	The 'DISCUSSION' section (1) describes the main purpose and	
	hypothesis of the study; (2) summarizes the most important results;	
	(3) illustrates and explains the results (but does not simply repeat	
	the data) and draws conclusions or inferences based on the results;	
19	(4) points out the limitations of the study and their impact on the	[Y]
	results, as well as proposes further advice on future research	
	topic(s) or direction(s); and (5) describes the theoretical significance	
	and practical value of the findings.	
	The 'ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS' section expresses gratitude to any	
	individuals or organizations for technical support (<i>i.e.</i> , providing	
20	instrumentation, equipment or experimental materials, and/or	
	assistance in experimental work), non-technical services (<i>i.e.</i> , useful	[Y]
	inspiration, suggestions, guidance, or review), and/or any other	
	auxiliary work.	
21	The 'ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS' section provides comments for	
	original articles in accordance with the specified format.	[Y]
	1	1



	The 'REFERENCES' section lists the references in the Vancouver	
	style. This style uses Arabic numeral in-text citations based on the	
	order of the first appearance of a source in the text. For citations	
	where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript	
	number should be placed following the name (<i>i.e.</i> , "Pang <i>et al</i> "). For	
	citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should	
22	be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma ^[1]	[Y]
	reported", "Pan et al ^[2-5] indicated"; "PCR has a high	
	sensitivity ^[6,9] ." No superscript numbers are used when the	
	reference number is described in the text; for example, "The	
	experimental method used has been described in reference [8]." The	
	style of reference citations in tables is the same as that in the text	
	(<i>e.g.,</i> Pan <i>et al</i> ^[2-5] , please see reference [8]).	
	Journal references have been verified to ensure that there are no	
	duplicate references and that the PMID numbers are correct. For	
	references not yet included in PubMed: the name of Chinese	[Y]
22	journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of	
23	each word capitalized (e.g., Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi); the name of	
	journals in other languages are listed according to indexing	
	information retrieved from Google. Book references are presented	
	with all the information relevant to the electronic version.	
	The number of cited references is appropriate for the article type, as	
	follows:	[Y]
24	<u>Commentary:</u> no less than 50;	
24	<u>Review:</u> no less than 100;	
	<u>Article:</u> no less than 30/26;	
	Case Report and Letter to the Editor: no less than 1.	
25	The ethics-related statements are provided in accordance with the	
	manuscript type (e.g., Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	[Y]
	statement, Manuscript NoAnimal care and use statement, etc.).	



26	The names of the peer reviewers and the scientific editor are present	
	at the end of the paper (e.g., P-Reviewer: Hugot D S-Editor: Wang	[Y]
	JL).	
	The order and numerical labeling of tables and figures is consistent	
	with their appearance and presentation in the text. Symbols in	
	tables (<i>e.g.</i> , +, -, \times , \div , *) correctly correspond to the definitions in the	
27	footnotes. Only one legend is provided for each multi-panel figure	D/I
27	consisting of color graphs, black and white graphs, or line graphs	[Y]
	that depicts data of the same theme. For example: Figure 1	
	Pathological changes in atrophic gastritis tissue before and after	
	treatment. A:; B:; C:; D:; E:; F:	
	Split pictures include flow charts, line graphs, histograms, and	
28	graphs including text. Unsplit pictures include meta-analysis	[N]
	diagrams, PCR amplification curves, and survival curves.	
	The author(s) highlighted the changes made to the manuscript	[Y]
29	according to the peer-reviewers' comments.	
20	The responses to the peer-reviewers' comments are consistent with	
30	the changes made to the manuscript.	[Y]
	The revised manuscript is provided (file name: Manuscript	
	NoReview; <i>e.g.</i> , 870- Review).	
21	The letter of peer-reviewers' comments is provided (file name:	
31	Manuscript NoPeer-review(s); <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Peer-review(s)).	[Y]
	The response letter is provided (file name: Manuscript	
	NoAnswering reviewers; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Answering reviewers).	
	The related ethics and relevant documents are provided, such as (1)	
	Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any	
32	approval document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoGrant application	
	form(s)); (2) Biostatistics review certificate (file name: Manuscript	[Y]
	NoBiostatistics statement); (3) Conflict-of-interest statement (file	
	name: Manuscript NoConflict-of-interest statement); (4) Clinical	



	trial registration statement (file name: Manuscript NoClinical trial	
	registration statement); (5) Institutional review board approval form	
	or document (file name: Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	
	statement); (6) Institutional animal care and use committee	
	approval form or document (file name: Manuscript	
	NoInstitutional animal care and use committee statement), and (7)	
	Signed informed consent form(s) or document(s) (file name:	
	Manuscript NoInformed consent statement).	
	All authors signed the BPG Copyright license agreement form (file	
33	name: Manuscript NoCopyright license agreement; e.g.,	[Y]
	870-Copyright license agreement).	
	The language certificate provided by authors who are non-native	
34	speakers of English meets the BPG requirements (file name:	[Y]
	Manuscript NoLanguage certificate; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Language certificate).	
	The photos licensed in the Agreement for Use of Personal Photos	
25	are consistent with those in the paper (file name: Manuscript	D. J
35	NoAgreement for use of personal photos; e.g., 870-Agreement for	[N]
	use of personal photos).	
	This document (Checklist of Responsibilities for Scientific Editors)	
36	has been saved under the file name: manuscript NoScientific	[Y]
	editor work list (e.g., 870-Scientific editor work list).	
	A CrossCheck investigation (an effective tool for detecting unoriginal	
	content, enabling our editors to preserve the journal's integrity and	
	the authors' copyright) has been performed for the manuscript via	
	the website: http://www.ithenticate.com/. The results document	
37	contains the following information for the manuscript: "Name of	[Y]
	journal", "Manuscript No.", "Columns", "Title" and "Author list".	
	The Figure of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results is saved in JPEG format (.jpg) at	
	1440 \times 680 pixel resolution. The PDF of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results has	
	been saved under the file name: manuscript No CrossCheck report	



(e.g., 870-CrossCheck report). The Google searches have also been performed to further ensure publication of original content. 38 The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with 1.5 line spacing. The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully checking the entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, ×, +, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments. Publication Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance and charging of publication fee→Language editing→Production→Proofreading by scientific editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website→Release of online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the author(s)→End of the publication process.				
38The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with 1.5 line spacing.[M]The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with 1.5 line spacing.The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully checking the entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, ×, +, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments.Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance and charging of publication fee→Language editing→Production→Proofreading by scientific editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website→Release of online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the		(e.g., 870-CrossCheck report). The Google searches have also been		
381.5 line spacing.Responsibilities of scientific editorsThe primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully checking the entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (<i>e.g.</i> +, -, ×, +, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments.Publication processManuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance and charging of publication fee→Language editing→Production→Proofreading by scientific editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website→Release of online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the		performed to further ensure publication of original content.		
1.5 line spacing. Responsibilities The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefully checking the entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (<i>e.g.</i> +, -, ×, +, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments. Publication Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance and charging of publication fee→Language editing→Production→Proofreading by scientific editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website→Release of online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the	38	The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with	[Y]	
Responsibilities of scientific editorsentire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) errors in spelling, grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, ×, +, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments.Publication processManuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance and charging of publication fee→Language editing→Production→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website→Release of online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the		1.5 line spacing.		
Responsibilities of scientific editorsgrammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, ×, ÷, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments.Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance and charging of publication fee→Language editing→Production→Proofreading by scientific editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website→Release of online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the		The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefull	y checking the	
of scientific editorsgrammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure data and legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, ×, ÷, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments.Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance and charging of publication fee→Language editing→Production→Proofreading by scientific editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website→Release of online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the	Deenoncibilities	entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) error	rs in spelling,	
editorslegends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +, -, ×, ÷, %, *) in tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments.Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance and charging of publication fee→Language editing→Production→Proofreading by scientific editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website→Release of online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the	-	grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, figure d		
tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments.Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance and charging of publication fee→Language editing→Production→Proofreading by scientific editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website→Release of online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the		legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +,	-, ×, ÷, %, *) in	
Publication Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer review→End of peer review→First round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance and charging of publication fee→Language editing→Production→Proofreading by scientific editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website→Release of online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the	ealtors	tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of the manuscript		
Publication review \rightarrow End of peer review \rightarrow First round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be accepted \rightarrow Revision by the author(s) \rightarrow Second round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be accepted/revised/rejected \rightarrow Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control for academic content and language quality) \rightarrow Final acceptance and charging of publication fee \rightarrow Language editing \rightarrow Production \rightarrow Proofreading by scientific editor \rightarrow Proofreading by deputy editor \rightarrow Final review by Editor-in-Chief \rightarrow Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website \rightarrow Release of online papers on PubMed Central \rightarrow Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the		according to the reviewers' comments.		
Publication accepted→Revision by the author(s)→Second round of meeting evaluation→To be accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance and charging of publication fee→Language editing→Production→Proofreading by scientific editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website→Release of online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the		Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific	c editor→Peer	
Publicationaccepted/revised/rejected \rightarrow Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality control for academic content and language quality) \rightarrow Final acceptance and charging of publication fee \rightarrow Language editing \rightarrow Production \rightarrow Proofreading by scientific editor \rightarrow Proofreading by deputy editor \rightarrow Final review by Editor-in-Chief \rightarrow Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website \rightarrow Release of online papers on PubMed Central \rightarrow Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the		review \rightarrow End of peer review \rightarrow First round of meeting evalu	ation→To be	
Publication control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance and charging process of publication fee→Language editing→Production→Proofreading by scientific editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website→Release of online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the		accepted \rightarrow Revision by the author(s) \rightarrow Second round of meeting eval	uation \rightarrow To be	
process of publication fee→Language editing→Production→Proofreading by scientific editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website→Release of online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the		accepted/revised/rejected→Final review by the Editor-in-Chief	(final quality	
editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website→Release of online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the	Publication	control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance	e and charging	
of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website \rightarrow Release of online papers on PubMed Central \rightarrow Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the	process	of publication fee→Language editing→Production→Proofreading by scientific		
online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the		editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release		
		of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG websi	te→Release of	
author(s) \rightarrow End of the publication process.		online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF	reprints to the	
		author(s) \rightarrow End of the publication process.		