

Dear Reviewer #1,

Reviewer: I think the topic of the manuscript is interesting and the authors have done a good job in this review.

Authors: We are very thankful and gratitude for your positive review of our publication. Your kind words and constructive feedback mean a lot to us, and we are honored that you took the time to read our work. Your support and encouragement will help us to continue to improve and produce high-quality content in the future. Thank you once again for your contribution to the field and for your valuable insights.

Dear Reviewer #2,

We appreciate the reviewer for valuable constructive comments and suggestions, which greatly helped us improve the manuscript.

Reviewer: The review is of a sufficient quality to be published upon the completion of minor revisions.

Authors: Thank you for your positive review of our manuscript. We are grateful for your time and effort in evaluating our work. Your favorable opinion means a lot to us, and we are thrilled that you found our research to be of high quality. We appreciate your constructive feedback and will use it to further improve our work. We are looking forward to the opportunity to have our work published and to share our findings with a wider audience. Thank you again for your valuable insights and support.

In the light of the valuable reviewer's comments, the manuscript has been modified as following:

Reviewer: Firstly, it would be advisable for the authors to specify in those figures that are not of their own creation whether they have obtained permission from the original authors for reproduction.

Reply: We confirm that all the figures in this manuscript are created by us and no copyrights to be asked. A PowerPoint with the original figures which we designed is provided.

Reviewer: Secondly, the formatting of the bibliography appears to be somewhat confusing, and it is not clear if it adheres to the journal's guidelines. It would be the editor's responsibility to verify this.

Reply: All the references are arranged according the Journal guidelines using Endnote program.

Reviewer: On page 23, there are three lines highlighted in yellow, which could imply that there are a few observations that need to be addressed between the authors that were not checked prior to the submission of the article.

Reply: We are very thankful for the reviewer; the yellow highlight is removed.

Finally, we really thank the reviewer for valuable comments and suggestions. We are grateful for the time and effort you have taken to evaluate our work. We look forward to the opportunity to have our work considered for publication after the suggested corrections have been made. Thank you again for your time and valuable insights.