

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Nephrology*

**Manuscript NO:** 82818

**Title:** Point of Care Ultrasonography in Onco-Nephrology: A Stride Toward Better Physical Examination

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05870203

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MBBS, MD

**Professional title:** Consultant Physician-Scientist

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Sri Lanka

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-12-28

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-01-04 02:00

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-01-05 04:14

**Review time:** 1 Day and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, I would like to thank you for compiling this manuscript on a relatively untouched yet emerging field of POCUS in onco-nephrology. It is a well-written narrative review. As you have discussed the importance of training non-radiologists and ultrasonographers on POCUS which is indeed a necessity, please discuss the value of the former specially during off-working hours and briefly on the outcome aspect of non-radiology clinician -performed POCUS studies. The utility of POCUS in developing countries and obstacles to its regular use such as the non-availability need to be briefly touched upon for completion. Suggest citing, Munasinghe, B.M., Fernando, U.P.M., Srisothinathan, N., Subramaniam, N., Jayamanne, B.D.W. and Arulmoli, J., 2021. Critical care Ultrasonography as a Decision Support and Therapeutic Assist Tool in the Intensive Care Unit: A Single Center Retrospective Survey in a District General Hospital, Sri Lanka.. Journal of the Postgraduate Institute of Medicine, 8(2), pp.E162 1-12. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.4038/jpgim.8332>

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Nephrology*

**Manuscript NO:** 82818

**Title:** Point of Care Ultrasonography in Onco-Nephrology: A Stride Toward Better Physical Examination

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 03287643

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Greece

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-12-28

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-01-11 11:06

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-01-19 10:39

**Review time:** 7 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a well written, concise review on the topic of point-of-care ultrasonography in nephrology, which is a very hot topic at the moment. My only remark is that, in the case of obstructive AKI in oncology patients, radiation induced ureteral strictures should be added as possible cause. I recommend that the article is of interest to the readers of the journal and should be accepted for publication.