

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Manuscript NO: 82905

Title: Knowledge, attitudes and experiences of genetic testing for autism spectrum

disorders among caregivers, patients, and health providers: A systematic review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05820295 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MBBS

Professional title: Doctor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-03 05:59

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-13 04:01

Review time: 9 Days and 22 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript entitled Knowledge, Attitudes and "Experiences of Genetic Testing for Autism Spectrum Disorders among caregivers, patients, and health providers: a Systematic Review" is a systematic review evaluating the current Research on knowledge, experiences, and attitudes towards genetic testing among caregivers of children with ASD, adolescent and adult ASD patients, and health providers. comments to the authors are as follows: 1) "Diagnosis of ASD lacks subjective biomarkers and primarily relies on clinical observation and evaluation of individuals' behavioral and developmental characteristics" I think this should be: "Diagnosis of ASD lacks objective biomarkers and primarily relies on subjective parameters such as clinical observation and evaluation of individuals' behavioral and developmental characteristics" 2) A background regarding the genetic polymorphisms associated with ASD may be added. 3) There must be a clear distinction between the perspectives of the care givers and health care providers. These two groups cannot be be merged under one heading. Overall, the manuscript is the first study that systematically reviews caregivers', patients', and health providers' knowledge, experiences, and



attitudes toward genetic testing for ASD. It must be considered for publication after a few minor changes.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Manuscript NO: 82905

Title: Knowledge, attitudes and experiences of genetic testing for autism spectrum

disorders among caregivers, patients, and health providers: A systematic review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02916557 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: AGAF, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Cameroon

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-30

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-15 02:47

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-24 01:38

Review time: 8 Days and 22 Hours

	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript can be published at this stage